# TRANSCRIPTS FOR: MISC. ISSUES AND INFORMATION SECTION

4661

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. FOLCK.
- A. GOOD MORNING.
- Q. MR. FOLCK, I APOLOGIZE FOR CALLING YOU
  BACK, BUT WHEN YOU TESTIFIED LAST WEEK, I FORGOT TO
  ASK YOU A QUESTION. YOU INDICATED THAT AS PART OF
  YOUR INVESTIGATION IN THIS CASE, YOU HAD EXAMINED
  THE FORD BRONCO, WHICH IS DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S
  EXHIBIT 20; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

25

2627

28

- Q. OKAY. NOW, MR. FOLCK, WHAT IS MEANT BY THE TERM "LIFTED," AS IT REFERS TO A VEHICLE?
- A. WELL, USUALLY YOU'RE REFERRING TO A TRUCK OR A SUV WHERE IT'S BEEN LIFTED; THE BODY IS LIFTED OFF THE SUSPENSION. THE SUSPENSION IS FARTHER DOWN TO GIVE IT AN OVERALL HIGHER RIDE HEIGHT.
- Q. OKAY. AND YOU DID HAVE A CHANCE TO EXAMINE THE FORD BRONCO DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES, I DID.
- Q. AND DURING THE EXAMINATION OF THAT VEHICLE, WAS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THE VEHICLE HAD BEEN LIFTED IN ANY WAY?
- A. NO. THE VEHICLE HAS NOT BEEN LIFTED. THIS IS THE WAY THE VEHICLE WAS MANUFACTURED. THIS IS STOCK.
  - MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU.
    - I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. MCALLISTER?

MR. MCALLISTER: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. I HAVE NO OUESTIONS AT ALL.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE, ANYTHING?

MR. ROAKE: NO.

11

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR COMING BACK. YOU'RE FREE TO GO.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: NEXT THE DEFENSE WOULD CALL SERGEANT SERRITELLA.

#### CHRISTOPHER SERRITELLA,

CALLED AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT ANDERSON, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, SIR.

THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING.

THE CLERK: WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL

NAME AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: IT'S CHRISTOPHER SERRITELLA.

S-E-R-R-I-T-E-L-L-A.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. YEAH. THAT'S ACTUALLY AN AFTER-MARKET COVER.

- O. IN FACT, IT LOOKS LIKE --
- A. IT LOOKS LIKE A HARLEY DAVIDSON COVER.
- Q. LOOKS LIKE A HARLEY DAVIDSON COVER?
- A. YEAH.
- $\label{eq:Q.AND_JUST_FOR_POINT_OF_CLARIFICATION, THIS } \\ \text{IS THE VEHICLE YOU SAW THAT DAY?} \\$
- A. I BELIEVE IT'S THAT VEHICLE OVER THIS VEHICLE, YES.

THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY "THIS VEHICLE," COULD YOU CLARIFY WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO?

THE WITNESS: THIS VEHICLE IN THE PICTURE.

THE COURT: AND, MR. MCALLISTER, THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ON THAT?

MR. MCALLISTER: THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ON THAT IS DEFENSE D.

#### BY MR. MCALLISTER:

Q. ONE MORE QUESTION, SIR.

IN EXHAUST SYSTEMS, IF YOU HAVE A POST MUFFLER HOLE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, CAN THAT SOMETIMES SOUND MODIFIED?

A. YOU MEAN A HOLE IN THE MUFFLER? IT

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OBJECTION, LACK OF FOUNDATION.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. BASED UPON HIM HAVING MORE THAN ORDINARY KNOWLEDGE, I'M GOING TO ALLOW HIM TO OFFER AN OPINION.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Hole Cover the / 100

THE WITNESS: A HOLE IN THE MUFFLER WOULD SOUND MORE LIKE A STRAIGHT EXHAUST SYSTEM. IT WOULD HAVE MORE BACKFIRE IN THE EXHAUST. IT WOULDN'T HAVE A SOLID, DEEP TONE IN THE EXHAUST.

- Q. AND WOULD IT DEPEND ON WHERE IN THE EXHAUST LINE IT WAS? FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU HAD A MUFFLER OR A CATALYTIC CONVERTER OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND IT WAS ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER, WOULD THAT CHANGE THERE?
- A. IT WOULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE. IF IT WAS AFTER THE MUFFLER, IT WOULDN'T MAKE THE SOUND TOO MUCH, SIMPLY BECAUSE THE MUFFLER DOES MUFFLE THE SOUND.

IF IT WAS BEFORE THE MUFFLER, MEANING BETWEEN THE MUFFLER AND THE ENGINE, IT WOULD BE DISTINCTLY A STRAIGHT MOTOR SOUND. IT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY CHANGE TOO MUCH BY THE CATALYTIC CONVERTERS OR THE MUFFLER.

MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, SIR. I HAVE NO FURTHER OUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE?

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

MR. ROAKE: NO, THANK YOU.

THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: NOTHING FURTHER, THANK YOU.

THE COURT: AND MS. ROSENFELD?

MS. ROSENFELD: NO.

THE COURT: MAY MR. VANGORKUM BE EXCUSED?

MR. MCALLISTER: YES, YOUR HONOR.



1	purported	to	ha <b>v</b> e	some	info	orma	atio	n or	n the	case	you
2 :	were inves	ti	gating	for	the	Sar	n Di	ego	Count	y Di	strict
3	Attorney's	01	ffice,	you	had	a	list	of	those	wit	nesses
4 (	 and addres	ses	; did	you	not?	?					-

- A. Did I have a list of them? I did not have a list anyplace of all the witnesses in this case.
  - Q. All right. I understand.
- 8 A. Okay.

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

- 9 Q. Mr. Baker, is it fair to say you knew who 10 you were going to interview before you went out to 11 interview, say, the Van Gorkums, right?
- 12 A. Well, no.
- 13 Q. One of those?
- 14 A. I was hoping that one of the Van Gorkums 15 would be home, and one was home and it happened to 16 be Dustin.
  - Q. In other words, you knew that there was an individual or two individuals named Van Gorkum and you had an address, and you went out to see if they would provide you with the information or statement?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And in this context, you reviewed
  what information they had provided on an earlier
  day?
- 25 A. You know, I can't say that I had. I had
  26 personal knowledge -- or I had knowledge that the
  27 Van Gorkums at some point had been contacted and
  28 they had seen a Ford Bronco. I don't believe I

2 statements that the Van Gorkums had actually made to
3 the sheriff's department.
4 Q. Okay. You had a photograph of a Ford
5 Bronco with you?

A. I believe I had eight photocopies of digital photos.

actually went back and actually reviewed any

Q. And that was a photocopy of the vehicle that in your mind had been impounded and at one time been in the possession of Eric Anderson; is that correct?

A. Yes.

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Q. And that's the photograph generally -- I'm going to ask you a number of questions about that -- that you would show witnesses when you asked them whether they recognized the vehicle?

A. That's correct.

Q. And you took that photograph with you to the Van Gorkum residence when you went out to interview them?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And did you have information that either of the Van Gorkums had said earlier that they had seen only a vehicle driving toward the Brucker residence?

MR. MCALLISTER: Objection, Your Honor.

27 Relevance, and again it references --

THE COURT: Sustained.

HOW MANY WERE RUNNING ON APRIL 14TH OF

AND HOW MANY DID YOU DETERMINE WERE

I WOULDN'T KNOW THAT.

JURORS	ARE	PRI	ESENT.	EΑ	сн с	EFEND	ANT	ΙS	HER	Ε.	OUR
ATTORN	EYS	ARE	PRESEN	т.	MS.	HUFF	IS	ΑТ	THE	WI	TNESS
STAND,	UND	DER (	DATH.								

AND, MS. VANDENBOSCH, I THINK WE CLEARED UP THOSE ISSUES. WHY DON'T YOU GO AHEAD AND COMPLETE YOUR EXAMINATION.

#### SANDRA HUFF, VOLUME 27,

CALLED BY DEFENDANT ANDERSON, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

#### BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

- Q. MS. HUFF, DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE OVER THE BREAK TO REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION AS TO THE DATE THOSE REGISTRATION RECORDS ARE FOR?
- A. YES. I REVIEWED THE LETTER, AND I SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED REGISTERED OWNERS OF FORD BRONCOS AS OF APRIL 2003, INCLUDING MODEL YEARS 1985 TO 1995, IN THE EAST COUNTY AREA OF SAN DIEGO.
- Q. AND THE RECORDS THAT WERE RETURNED TO YOU, WERE THEY -- WERE THEY DIVIDED INTO TWO SETS?
  - A. YES, THEY WERE -- OR ARE.
- Q. AND THE FIRST SET, WHICH PARTICULAR MUNICIPALITIES DO THOSE REFER TO?
- A. THE FIRST SET IS ALPINE, EL CAJON, LAKESIDE, AND SANTEE.
  - AND HOW MANY FORD BRONCOS OVER -- BETWEEN

- 1	
1	1985 AND 1995 WERE REGISTERED IN THOSE
2	MUNICIPALITIES AS OF APRIL 2003?
3	A. 1,501.
4	Q. AND WHAT ARE THE RECORDS CONTAINED IN THE
5	SECOND VOLUME?
6	A. THOSE WERE FOR LEMON GROVE, LA MESA, AND
7	POWAY.
8	Q. AND HOW MANY FORD BRONCOS BETWEEN THE YEARS
9	OF 1985 AND 1995 WERE REGISTERED IN THOSE
0	MUNICIPALITIES AS OF APRIL 2003?
1	A. 559.
2	MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER
3	QUESTIONS.
4	THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. MCALLISTER,
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION?
6	MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
7	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. MCALLISTER:
예	Q. FULL-SIZE BRONCOS OR BRONCO II'S?
1	A. DON'T KNOW.
2	Q. HOW MANY OF THEM WERE BROWN AND TAN?
3	A. THEY WERE NOT ABLE AT THE DEPARTMENT OF
4	MOTOR VEHICLES TO DISTINGUISH BY COLOR.

25

26

27

28

Q. 2003?

Q.

- A. I don't, but I don't remember her -- I do not remember her saying how many people were in the vehicle.
- Q. If I were to show you a document, do you think that might help you refresh your memory?
- A. If it's a transcript, yes.
- 7 Q. 1897 of discovery. That's Megan/Baker 8 transcript. Lines 20 a couple lines down.
- 9 A. Okay.

6

20

- 10 Q. Is your memory refreshed now as to what she told you?
- 12 A. Yes. She said that there was one person in 13 there.
- Q. She told you she saw one person in this
- 15 vehicle; is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
  - 17 Q. Do you remember asking her specifically,
  - 18 "Do you remember any cars passing you guys while you
  - 19 were riding the bicycles?"
    - A. I'm sure I asked her that, yes.
  - 21 Q. And the response had some uncertainty or
  - 22 equivocation to it. Do you remember that?
  - 23 A. I don't remember the response, so I'm
  - 24 not -- I don't remember that.
  - 25 Q. "I think there may have been a tan Bronco."
  - 26 Do you remember that response?
  - 27 A. She may have said, "I think."
  - 28 Q. And when you did a report on it, did that

translate to "She identified the Ford Bronco"? Do
you remember that?

- A. What she said is actually on an audiotape and you have the transcript, so I did not interpret that one way or the other.
  - Q. Did you create a report?
  - A. I did, but -- I may have.
- Q. And your report doesn't indicate any uncertainty on her part, right?
- MR. MCALLISTER: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained as to that question.
- 13 BY MR. MANGARIN:
- Q. Well, the questions thereof were to the effect when you saw this Ford Bronco, this photograph, where was it, which way was it going?
  Do you remember how the questions began to turn in that direction?
  - A. I think my question to her was after she told me that she'd seen a Ford Bronco, I think my question to her was something similar to, "Does this appear to be -- see, look at these photographs and see if this vehicle appears similar to the one you saw," or words to that effect.
  - Q. When was it that you showed her the photograph? Toward the end of the interview?
  - A. I believe it probably would have had to have been because I didn't know her knowing that a

Therepy report / sections.

March

8

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

12× Conte

1111

car had passed, so I believe so.

- Q. And before you showed her the photograph, is it accurate -- and do you have the transcript in front of you -- that in your questions, you refer to the vehicle that she described as the Bronco probably no less than 10, 12 times?
- A. I don't have the transcript in front of me, and I don't know how many times I referred to the Bronco. I can tell you that I certainly did not refer to a Bronco until she brought it up.
- Q. Okay. You don't know whether anyone else had talked to her about the Bronco, is that right, before you -- before she brought it up to you?
- A. I've never received any information that anyone has spoken to her about it.
- Q. But she did give you an indication that someone had talked to her about the color of the vehicle. Do you remember that?
- 19 A. I'm not sure. I know I don't remember
  20 that, but it's possible.
  - Q. If I show you a document, that might help refresh your memory as to what she told you?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. 1900.

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

21

22

23

24

28

- 25 Maybe if you read from 11 to 25 or 26 something. 28.
- 27 A. Okay.
  - Q. She told you in that interview that someone

1 had talked to her about the color of the car before
2 you came out?

- A. Yes, because -- yes.
- Q. And when she told you that, did you ask her who talked to her about the car?
  - A. No.
- 7 Q. When you finally got around to showing her 8 the photograph, you asked her, "If I were to show 9 you a picture of a car, do you think you could 10 either recognize that as being the car or similar to 11 it?" Do you remember that question?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And her response to that specific question was, "I've been told that it was a black car." That was her response to your question as to whether she could identify the photograph you were showing her?
  - A. Well, that's what it says in the
- 18 transcript. I don't remember that, but yes.
- Q. And the -- when was it that you interviewed the young girls?
- 21 A. The first part of May, I believe. Wait a 22 minute. The first part of September.
- 23 Q. And you knew at the time you went out to
  24 interview that the shooting of Mr. Brucker had taken
  25 place at sometime around 2:30 in the afternoon?
  - 26 A. I can't say that. I'm not sure exactly
- 27 what the time was of the incident.
- 28 Q. Even now you don't know what time it was?

End

12

- A. WHEN WE WERE OUT RIDING OUR BIKES, I SAW THE TAN BRONCO.
- Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO LOOK INSIDE THE BRONCO
  AND SEE ANYRODY IN THE BRONCO?
  - A. YEAH.

ANY VEHICLES IN THE AREA?

- Q. WHAT DO YOU REMEMBER SEEING?
- A. A MAN.

1.0

3.1

3.2

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 25

26

27 28

- Q.  $\mathfrak{O}$ KAY. CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR US WHAT THE MAN LOOKED LIKE?
  - A. I CAN'T REMEMBER.
- Q. WOULD IT HELP REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION IF I GAVE YOU A CHANCE TO LOOK AT A STATEMENT THAT YOU GAVE TO MR. BAKER EARLIER?
  - A. OKAY.
- MR. MCALLISTER: AND FOR COUNSEL'S BENEFIT,
  DISCOVERY PAGE 1901, TRANSCRIPT PAGE 18, LINES 1
  THROUGH 10 -- I'M SORRY, I GAVE YOU THE WRONG PAGE.
  PAGE 17, LINES 7 THROUGH 10.

#### BY MR. MCALLISTER:

- Q. WHAT I WANT TO DO IS JUST GIVE YOU A
  CHANCE -- DO YOU SEE LINE 7 THERE? JUST READ THAT
  TO YOURSELF UNTIL YOU GET DOWN TO -- TO LINE 10;
  OKAY? IF YOU CAN READ THAT TO YOURSELF AND THEN
  I'LL ASK YOU SOME OUESTIONS.
  - A. OKAY.
  - O. DOES THAT HELP REFRESH YOUR MEMORY?

26

27

28

Billion 18 Michael

A. KIND OF.

Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT THE -- WHAT THE PERSON YOU SAW IN THE VEHICLE LOOKED LIKE?

A. THE SUNGLASSES MAYBE, MUSTACHE. AND I CAN'T REMEMBER ANYTHING ELSE.

Q. NOW, WHEN YOU WERE RIDING YOUR BIKE THAT DAY, WERE YOU RIDING WITH STEPHANIE KEHRER?

A. YES.

O. WAS SHE A FRIEND OF YOURS?

A. YEAH.

Q. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU SOME PHOTOGRAPHS,
PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, WHICH HAS BEEN ADMITTED INTO
EVIDENCE. AND I'M GOING TO FIRST SHOW IT TO YOU SO
THAT YOU DON'T HAVE TO TURN AROUND AWAY FROM THE
MICROPHONE.

DOES THAT LOOK LIKE THE VEHICLE THAT YOU SAW THAT DAY?

A. NO.

Q. IT DOESN'T? WHAT LOOKS DIFFERENT ABOUT THIS VEHICLE?

A. IT'S A LOT DARKER.

Q. DO YOU THINK THE VEHICLE YOU SAW WAS DARKER?

A. NO, THAT IS DARKER. THE OTHER ONE WAS WAY LIGHTER.

Q. OKAY. SO YOU DON'T THINK IT WAS THIS VEHICLE?

A. NOT WHAT I CAN REMEMBER, NO, IT WASN'T.

TALKING TO MR. BAKER ABOUT A TAN BRONCO?	
A. YES.	
Q. DID THIS HELP REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?	
A. YES.	
Q. AND DO YOU NOW REMEMBER WHETHER IT WAS A	
TAN-COLORED BRONCO THAT YOU SAW?	
A. YEAH.	
MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, MS. GUISTI. I HAVE	
NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.	
THE COURT: NOW, ONE OR TWO OF THE OTHER	
ATTORNEYS MIGHT HAVE A QUESTION; OKAY?	
THE WITNESS: OKAY.	
THE COURT: MR. ROAKE, DO YOU HAVE ANY	
QUESTIONS?	
MR. ROAKE: NO, YOUR HONOR, NOT OF THIS WITNESS.	
THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH, GO AHEAD.	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	THE PARTY OF THE P
BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:	11
Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MS. GUISTI. I JUST HAVE A	*
COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. IN FACT, YOU SPOKE TO	
MR. BAKER, WHO IS SEATED IN THE COURTROOM TODAY.	
HE'S THE FELLOW SEATED THERE IN THE MIDDLE IN THE	

DO YOU REMEMBER SPEAKING TO HIM ON A PRIOR

	4	A. YES.
	5	Q. AND EVEN AT THAT TIME YOU TOLD HIM YOU
	6	TOLD HIM IT WAS A TAN BRONCO?
	7	A. YEAH.
	8	Q. AND YOU ALSO TOLD HIM THAT YOU HAD ONLY
	9	SEEN ONE PERSON IN THAT VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
	10	A. YES.
	11	$Q_{ullet}$ OKAY. AND THAT PERSON MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE
	12	BEEN WEARING SUNGLASSES; YOU COULDN'T REMEMBER?
	13	A. I COULDN'T REMEMBER.
	14	Q. OKAY. AND THE FOUR PHOTOS THAT ARE ON
	15	PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, OKAY, YOU'RE THESE ARE THE
	16	ONES THAT WERE SHOWN TO YOU JUST A COUPLE OF MINUTE
	17	AGO?
	18	A. YES.
	19	Q. OKAY. AND YOU'RE LOOKING AT THESE
< /	20	PHOTOGRAPHS, AND YOU'RE SAYING THAT THE VEHICLE THA
$\times$	21	YOU SAW THAT DAY WAS A LOT LIGHTER THAN THE ONE IN
	22	THIS IN THESE PHOTOGRAPHS; IS THAT RIGHT?
	23	A. YEAH.
	24	MS. VANDENBOSCH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
	25	I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
	26	THE COURT: AND, MS. ROSENFELD, DID YOU HAVE AN
	27	QUESTIONS?

MS. ROSENFELD: I DON'T. THANK YOU.

WAS THAT YOU HAD SEEN; IS THAT RIGHT?

Q. OKAY. AND HE SPECIFICALLY ASKED YOU AT THAT TIME WHAT -- WHETHER -- WHAT COLOR THE VEHICLE

OCCASION? A. YEAH.

FRONT ROW.

THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU SAY THAT AGAIN AND SPEAK UP A LITTLE.

THE WITNESS: THE VEHICLE THAT I SAW WAS A LOT LIGHTER, AND I DON'T REMEMBER IT LOOKING LIKE THAT AT ALL.

#### BY MR. MCALLISTER:

Q. OKAY. DO YOU THINK -- DOES IT LOOK LIKE IT WAS THIS TYPE OF VEHICLE, MEANING THIS KIND OF TRUCK?

#### A. NO.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD -- I
DON'T BELIEVE THE JURY GOT A CHANCE TO SEE THE PHOTO
BOARD THAT MR. MCALLISTER WAS SHOWING MS. GUISTI
WHEN HE --

THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO CROSS-EXAMINE HER;
YOU MAY NOT. MAYBE IF YOU DON'T, YOU CAN SHOW
THAT --

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OKAY.

THE COURT: -- SHOW THAT EXHIBIT.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OKAY.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . MCALLISTER: IF I COULD HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: SURE.

#### BY MR. MCALLISTER:

- Q. NOW, WHEN YOU SAW THIS, THIS WAS THE THINGS THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT TODAY; THAT WAS OVER TWO YEARS AGO, RIGHT?
  - A. RIGHT.



Q.	DO	YOU	THINK	IT W	ONTD T	<u>IELP</u>	REFF	RESH	YOUR
RECOLLECT									
THAT YOU	GAL	<u>/</u> ET.C	MR.	BAKER	BACK	ON	SEPTE	MBER	THE
13 <sup>™</sup> OF 20	003	?							

A. OKAY.

MR. MCALLISTER: OKAY. AND FOR COUNSEL'S BENEFIT, I'M REFERRING TO PAGE 18 OF THAT TRANSCRIPT, DISCOVERY PAGE 1901.

#### BY MR. MCALLISTER:

Q. AND WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU LINES 1 THROUGH 11. AND JUST GO AHEAD AND READ LINES 1 THROUGH 11 TO YOURSELF, AND LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'RE DONE.

DOES THAT HELP REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION?

A. YEAH.

10

11|

12

13

141

15

16

17

18||

19

21

22

231

24

25

26

27

28

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER NOW WHETHER YOU TOLD

MR. BAKER THAT IT WAS A -- YOU THOUGHT IT WAS A TAN

BRONCO?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO
OBJECT. THE WITNESS DIDN'T INDICATE THAT SHE DIDN'T
REMEMBER IT, A PRIOR STATEMENT.

THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S TRUE, BUT THIS NOW
WOULD MOVE IN, I BELIEVE, BASED UPON HER TESTIMONY,
POSSIBLY A PRIOR CONSISTENT OR INCONSISTENT
STATEMENT. SO I'M GOING TO ALLOW IT.

MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

Q. DO YOU REMEMBER -- NOW DO YOU REMEMBER

Q.	AND	IT	WAS	NOT	Α	SERIES	OF	SIX	DIFFEREN
BRONCOS;	IS_	ГНАТ	RIC	SHT?					

- A. I DON'T THINK SO.
- Q. IN FACT, IT WAS A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS ALL OF ONE VEHICLE?
  - A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}.$  AND SOME OF THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS ARE THE ONES THAT ARE DEPICTED IN A LARGER VERSION ON PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20?
- A. THEY COULD BE. IT'S BEEN SO LONG, I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S THE SAME PICTURE.
- Q. OKAY. BUT YOU DO RECALL BEING SHOWN THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS BY MR. BAKER ON A PRIOR OCCASION?
  - A. YES, I DO.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

26

28

≥7

- Q. AND YOU WERE TOLD TO LOOK AT THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS CAREFULLY; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. I COULDN'T BE SPECIFIC ON THAT.
- Q. OKAY. WELL, DID MR. BAKER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THE PHOTOGRAPHS AND SEE IF THE VEHICLE -- IF THAT WAS A VEHICLE THAT YOU HAD SEEN?
- A. I THINK HE ASKED ME IF IT WAS -- IF IT MAY HAVE BEEN IT.
- Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOUR RESPONSE WAS WHEN YOU SAW THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS ON AUGUST 5TH OF 2003?
- A. I THINK I PROBABLY SAID AT THE TIME THAT I THOUGHT IT WAS DARKER.
  - Q. IN FACT, YOU SAID THAT YOU THOUGHT THE

VEHICLE THAT YOU HAD SEEN ON APRIL 14TH WAS A LITTLE

DARKER IN COLOR AND THAT THE VEHICLE WAS A LITTLE

HIGHER, AS IF MAYBE LIFTED A LITTLE; IS THAT RIGHT?

- A. I THINK THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. THAT WAS YOUR RESPONSE WHEN YOU SAW THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT MR. BAKER SHOWED YOU BACK IN AUGUST OF 2003?
  - A. THAT MAKES SENSE, YES.
  - Q. THANK YOU.

NOW, YOU DID MENTION THAT YOU HAD A GLIMPSE AT THE PEOPLE IN THE VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?

A. YES.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. AND DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION, AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, WHETHER THE DRIVER'S WINDOW WAS UP OR DOWN?
- A. I THINK WITH THE VIEW I HAD, IT PROBABLY WAS UP, BECAUSE I DIDN'T SEE MUCH.
  - O. IT'S BEEN A COUPLE OF YEARS?
  - A. IT'S BEEN A WHILE.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL SPEAKING TO SHERIFF'S

  DEPUTIES CLOSE IN TIME, PERHAPS THE SAME DAY YOU SAW

  THE VEHICLE EXITING THIS DRIVEWAY?
  - A. I DID GIVE A REPORT AT THE SCENE, YES.
- Q. YOU GAVE A REPORT AT THE SCENE, AND THEN
  YOU TALKED TO THIS DETECTIVE OVER THE PHONE A COUPLE
  OF DAYS LATER; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. THIS DETECTIVE?
  - Q. WHEN I REFER TO A CONVERSATION EARLIER WITH

Ken Leonard - Proposition

2986

COME STRAIGHT OUT, HE PROBABLY WOULD HAVE RUN INTO THE FRONT OF ME.

- O. INTO THE FRONT OF YOU?
- A. RIGHT.
- O. AND WHICH SIDE WOULD HAVE RUN RIGHT INTO THE FRONT OF YOU?
- A. IT COULD HAVE BEEN ANY PART OF IT, DEPENDING ON WHERE -- IF I HADN'T SLOWED DOWN.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, YOU SAID THAT YOU ASSUMED THAT THIS VEHICLE WAS A FORD BRONCO?
  - MY OPINION, FROM WHAT I SAW, YEAH.
- O. YOUR OPINION OF WHAT YOU SAW THAT DAY WAS WHAT YOU SAW WAS A FORD BRONCO?
  - RIGHT.

10

11

12

13 14

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- NOW, WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT, BASED ON HOW QUICKLY THIS HAPPENED, THAT YOU WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO REIDENTIFY THE EXACT VEHICLE? IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. IN FACT, YOU WERE SPECIFICALLY ASKED BY ONE OF THE DETECTIVES WHO INTERVIEWED YOU A COUPLE OF DAYS AFTER THE INCIDENT, ON APRIL 16TH, DETECTIVE RYZDYNSKI, IF SHE WERE TO SHOW YOU A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS, FOR EXAMPLE, SIX DIFFERENT BRONCOS, WHETHER YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO PICK OUT THE BRONCO THAT YOU HAD SEEN LEAVING THAT DRIVEWAY; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. I THINK AT THE TIME, I WOULD HAVE TOLD THEM

I WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO.

- YOU WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO?
- THAT'S RIGHT.
- Q. AND, IN FACT, WHAT YOU COULD SAY IS THAT IT WAS A DARK -- AND, IN FACT, YOUR STATEMENTS TO THE POLICE WERE THAT IT WAS A BLACK FORD BRONCO; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - Α. THAT'S RIGHT.
- Q. NOW, YOU INDICATED THAT THE FORD BRONCO THAT APPEARS IN THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS LOOKS SIMILAR TO THE ONE THAT YOU SAW THAT DAY?
- A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- Q. NOW, YOU WERE SHOWN FOUR PHOTOGRAPHS WHICH APPEAR TO BE ENLARGED; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - THEN OR NOW?
  - NOW. Q.
    - RIGHT, YES.
    - AS THE EXHIBIT, AS PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20? Q.
  - RIGHT.
- YOU'VE SEEN THESE PHOTOGRAPHS BEFORE,

RIGHT?

I HAVE TO ASSUME THEY'RE THE SAME ONES I SAW.

23 24

28

Q. YOU DO RECALL THAT, ON A PRIOR OCCASION, AUGUST 5TH OF 2003, THAT MR. BAKER, WHO IS SEATED IN COURT HERE, CAME TO YOU AND SHOWED YOU A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS? IS THAT RIGHT?

THAT'S TRUE.

× 26 27

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

20

15

28

SAW THAT DAY?

FURTHER QUESTIONS.

A. PRETTY CLOSE. WE'RE DOWN TOWARDS THE
BOTTOM, AND THEY'RE TOWARDS THE TOP.
Q. DID YOU AT SOME TIME BECOME AWARE OF THE
FACT THAT AMBULANCES AND POLICE CARS AND THINGS LIKE
THAT HAD GONE TO THE BRUCKER HOME?
A. I REALLY DIDN'T HEAR MUCH AT THAT TIME.
NO, I REALLY DIDN'T HEAR IT AT THAT POINT.
Q. AT SOME POINT IN TIME, DID YOU GO AND TALK
TO SOME LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ABOUT SOMETHING YOU
HAD SEEN?
A. YES, I DID.
Q. AND WHAT DID YOU TELL THE LAW ENFORCEMENT
YOU HAD SEEN?
A. WELL, I WAS SICK THAT DAY FROM WORK, AND I
HEARD A LOUD RUMBLE OF A CAR ZOOMING BY REALLY FAST,
SO IT MADE ME POP MY HEAD UP TO LOOK UP, AND I SAW A
BROWN BRONCO WITH A BEIGE BOTTOM ZOOMING BY MY
HOUSE, BECAUSE WE'VE HAD PROBLEMS IN THE PAST WITH
SPEEDERS IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.
Q. I WOULD LIKE TO SHOW YOU WHAT WE HAVE
MARKED AS ACTUALLY ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AS
PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20 FOR IDENTIFICATION. AGAIN, IT'S
FOUR PHOTOS OF A MULTI-COLORED BRONCO.
DOES THIS APPEAR TO BE THE SAME VEHICLE YOU

A. YES, LOOKS VERY SIMILAR TO IT, YES.

MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, MA'AM. I HAVE NO

THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. VANDENBOSCH: GOOD MORNING, MS. HARTNETT. A. GOOD MORNING. Q. MS. HARTNETT, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU LIVE DOWN THE STREET FROM THE BRUCKER RESIDENCE? A. YES. \_\_\_10 Q. WHEN YOU SAY "DOWN THE STREET," DO YOU MEAN 11 CLOSER TO -- WOULD IT BE AURORA? 12 A. NO, IT'S --13 Q. THE CROSS STREET --14 Α. NO. 15 Q. -- WHICH -- WHERE EXACTLY ARE YOU IN TERMS OF THE BRUCKER RESIDENCE, IN TERMS OF A CROSS 17 STREET? 18 A. THERE'S TWO OTHER HOUSES BEFORE YOU HIT 19 AURORA, SO I'M, LIKE, RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE MORE. Q. BUT TOWARDS AURORA? 20 21 A. WELL, TOWARDS THE BOTTOM OF THE HILL, YES, 22 I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THAT. 23 Q. THANK YOU. AND YOU INDICATED THAT WHEN YOU MADE THIS 25 OBSERVATION, THAT YOU WERE, IN FACT, INSIDE YOUR 26 HOUSE; IS THAT RIGHT? 27 YES. 28 Q. AND YOU HEARD A VEHICLE TRAVELING VERY

$\cap$ I	IT	CK	•	V 2

- IT WAS VERY LOUD, ALSO.
- AND IT WAS GOING FAST? Q.
- YES. Α.
- AND WHAT YOU ~- YOU THEN LOOKED OUT OF YOUR Q. WINDOW?
  - UH-HUH. Α.
  - AND WAS IT YOUR BEDROOM WINDOW? Q.
  - Α. YES.
  - SO YOU SAW THIS VEHICLE AS IT WAS PASSING? Q.
  - UH-HUH. Α.
  - AND YOU SAW -- IS THAT A "YES"? Q.
  - YES. I'M SORRY. YES.
- AND DO YOU REMEMBER -- DID YOU SEE A SIDE VIEW OF THE VEHICLE, THEN?
- IT WAS GOING DOWN THE STREET, SO THE RIGHT SIDE OF IT.
  - O. THE RIGHT SIDE.
- AND YOU INDICATED THAT THE -- THE VEHICLE THAT YOU REMEMBER SEEING WAS -- HAD A BEIGE BOTTOM; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - WELL, IT WAS BEIGE TRIM, SO, YES, YES.
- IN FACT, YOU SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED THE VEHICLE THAT YOU SAW TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AS AN EDDIE BAUER MODEL BRONCO; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. LIKE AN EDDIE BAUER, YES, BUT I'M NOT AN EXPERT AT VEHICLES.
  - WHY DID YOU REFER TO IT AS AN EDDIE BAUER?

DOES SOMEONE YOU KNOW HAVE AN EDDIE BAUER?

- A. WELL, LIKE THE BEIGE TOP, AND I THOUGHT IT WAS A BEIGE BOTTOM TOWARD THE BOTTOM, AND DARK BROWN.
- Q. IN FACT, THAT'S SPECIFICALLY WHAT YOU TOLD ONE OF THE OFFICERS THAT YOU SPOKE TO, OFFICER DUGAL, ON APRIL 14TH, WAS THAT THE VEHICLE THAT YOU SAW, IN FACT, HAD A BEIGE TRIM, BEIGE BOTTOM?
  - BEIGE TRIM, YES.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, WERE YOU EVER SHOWN A SERIES OF, LET'S SAY, SIX OR EIGHT PHOTOGRAPHS OF DIFFERENT MODELS OF FORD BRONCOS AND ASKED TO PICK OUT THE FORD BRONCO THAT LOOKED MOST SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU REMEMBER SEEING ON APRIL 14TH?
  - YES.
  - Q. YOU WERE ~-
- A. YOU MEAN AS FAR AS PICTURES GO, TO LOOK AT PICTURES?
  - YOU WERE ASKED AT SOME POINT TO LOOK AT --
  - I WAS SHOWN PICTURES, YES.
- AND, IN FACT, THOSE PICTURES WERE ALL OF ONE VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW.
- Q. OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER WHO SHOWED YOU THE PICTURES?
  - YES. Α.
  - AND WHO WAS IT?

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

28

27

28

11

15

16

17

18

19 20

1	A. MR. BAKER.
2	Q. MR. BAKER, WHO IS SEATED IN COURT TODAY?
_ 3	A. YES.
> <sub>4</sub>	Q. AND, IN FACT, THAT WAS FAIRLY RECENTLY; IS
5	THAT RIGHT?
6	A. YES.
> 7	Q. IT WAS ABOUT A MONTH AGO?
8	A. UH-HUH, YES.
9	Q. AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER AT THIS POINT
10	WHETHER THOSE PICTURES WERE OF, LIKE, SIX OR
11	SEVEN
12	A. I DON'T REMEMBER. I'M SORRY. I DON'T
13	REMEMBER.
14	Q. MS. HARTNETT, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS,
15	AGAIN, SHOW YOU PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, WHICH YOU WERE
16	SHOWN JUST A COUPLE OF MINUTES AGO.
17	AND UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE ARE ENLARGED
18	VERSIONS, DO YOU RECALL WHETHER YOU'VE SEEN SMALLER
19	VERSIONS OF THESE PARTICULAR PHOTOGRAPHS BEFORE?
20	A. YES.
21	Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHO SHOWED YOU
22	THOSE?
23	A. I BELIEVE MR. BAKER.
24	Q. OKAY. SO THESE ARE AT LEAST THESE ARE
25	SOME OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT MR. BAKER SHOWED YOU?
26	A. YES.
27	Q. AND DOES THAT HELP REFRESH YOUR
28	RECOLLECTION AS TO WHETHER ALL THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT
1	

HE SHOWED YOU WERE OF ONE PARTICULAR VEHICLE OR WHETHER HE SHOWED YOU A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT VEHICLES? A. YOU KNOW, I DON'T RECALL. I DON'T. Q. BUT YOU DO RECALL SEEING THESE PARTICULAR PHOTOS BEFORE? A. YES, YES. Q. AND DO YOU RECALL SAYING SPECIFICALLY WHEN YOU SAW THESE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT IT LOOKS LIKE IT COULD BE THE SAME, BUT YOU'RE NOT POSITIVE? A. IT'S BEEN OVER TWO YEARS AGO, SO ... 11 Q. OKAY. THANK YOU. 12 A. THANK YOU. 13 MS. VANDENBOSCH: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 14 15 THE COURT: MR. ROAKE? MR. ROAKE: NONE, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: MS. ROSENFELD? 17 18 MS. VANDENBOSCH: NO. 19 THE COURT: MR. MCALLISTER, ANY REDIRECT? 20 MR. MCALLISTER: NO, THANK YOU. THE COURT: MS. HARTNETT, THANK YOU FOR BEING 21 22 HERE. YOU'RE FREE TO GO. 23 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. THE COURT: DO YOU NEED TO CHECK TO SEE WHO 25 MIGHT BE AVAILABLE? 26 MR. MCALLISTER: YES. OUR NEXT SCHEDULED WITNESS IS MR. LEGLER. 28 THE COURT: OKAY.

24

(INDICATING).

Q. AND THE WITNESS IS POINTING TO AN AREA ON PEOPLE'S 13, WHICH IS A STREET THAT COMES DOWN AS YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE PHOTOGRAPH, THE FAR LEFT SIDE.

SINCE WE ALREADY HAVE RED MARKING ON HERE. I'M GOING TO GET A BLACK PEN AND HAVE YOU MARK THE LOCATION WHERE YOU WERE.

- OKAY.
- IF YOU WOULD, ON PEOPLE'S 13, JUST GO AHEAD AND MARK THE LOCATION WHERE YOU WERE WORKING, AND JUST PUT YOUR INITIALS THERE.
  - A. I WAS RIGHT ABOUT HERE (INDICATING).
  - O. WERE YOU THERE ALL DAY LONG?
- YEAH, PRETTY MUCH THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE DAY. I WOULD SAY FROM ABOUT TEN IN THE MORNING TO SIX OR SEVEN IN THE EVENING.
- Q. WAS THERE ANYTHING THAT HAPPENED IN THE AFTERNOON THAT CAUGHT YOUR ATTENTION?
- A. THE VEHICLE THAT DROVE BY THAT I GUESS IS IN QUESTION.
  - Q. AND ABOUT WHAT TIME WAS THAT?
- A. I BELIEVE -- I THINK IT WAS AROUND FIVEISH, I DON'T REALLY RECALL THE EXACT TIME, SINCE IT'S BEEN SO LONG, BUT I BELIEVE IT WAS AROUND FIVE IN THE AFTERNOON.
- Q. DID YOU BECOME AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THERE HAD BEEN A SHOOTING ON MEDILL AVENUE?
  - NOT UNTIL THE DETECTIVE CAME TO OUR HOUSE

THAT EVENING AND WE NOTICED ALL THE EMERGENCY VEHICLES AT THE BRUCKERS' HOME.

- O. AND THE TIME THAT YOU SAW THE VEHICLE THAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT IN A MINUTE, WAS THAT BEFORE THAT TIME?
  - A. YES, YEAH.

Proseco - temis

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. I WOULD LIKE TO SHOW YOU A PHOTO BOARD THAT'S ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AS PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, FOUR PHOTOS OF A, B, C, AND D, WHICH SEEM TO DEPICT A FORD BRONCO.
  - A. CORRECT.
  - DOES THAT LOOK LIKE THE VEHICLE YOU SAW?
  - THAT IS THE VEHICLE.
  - AND HOW CAN YOU TELL THAT?
- A. SIMPLY, MOST OBVIOUSLY, THE TWO-TONE PAINT JOB, IT WAS PRETTY OUTSTANDING, AND IT'S A VEHICLE THAT WE HADN'T SEEN IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD BEFORE.
- Q. WAS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU REMEMBER BEING DISTINCTIVE?
- A. WHAT CAUGHT MY ATTENTION WAS THE EXHAUST TONE, SIMPLY BECAUSE I'M INTO CARS, I PAY ATTENTION TO THAT A LOT, AND IT HAD A DEEP --

THE COURT: HOLD ON A SECOND. I SEE STRAINED LOOKS ON THIS SIDE. MAYBE YOU CAN SPEAK A LITTLE MORE INTO THE MICROPHONE AND ADDRESS BOTH JURIES. BY MR. MCALLISTER:

O. WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THE PARTS ON THE VEHICLE THAT WAS DISTINCTIVE TO YOU?

\* so should be found cary to know a second

3085

14 15 ⇒ 16ll

17

11

12

13

18 19 20

23

25

26 27

11

15

16

20

24

- A. THE WHEELS AND TIRES WERE DISTINCTIVE.

  THEY HAD A LITTLE OVERSIZED TIRE ON IT. I'M NOT -I WASN'T SURE AT THE TIME IF THAT WAS DISTINCTIVE TO
  BRONCOS OF THAT YEAR, BUT MOST DISTINCTIVELY WHAT
  CAUGHT MY EYE AND ATTENTION WAS THE EXHAUST. IT
  DIDN'T REALLY SOUND LIKE A FACTORY EXHAUST; IT WAS A
  LITTLE DEEPER IN TONE, NOT MORE OF A QUIET EXHAUST
  OR WHAT ANYBODY WOULD TYPICALLY PUT ON A CAR.
  - Q. WOULD YOU CLASSIFY IT AS LOUD?
  - A. IT WAS LOUD, YEAH.
- Q. AND WHEN YOU SAW THE VEHICLE, WHERE ON THE ROADWAY DID YOU SEE IT? I'M GOING TO GO BACK TO PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 13. WHERE DID YOU SEE THE VEHICLE GO?
- A. I SAW IT BETWEEN THIS POINT AND ABOUT THIS POINT ON THE PICTURE (INDICATING), SO ABOUT THE MIDDLE SECTION OF THIS STREET, SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THE VANTAGE POINT AND THAT IT DROVE BY, AND NOT A SLOW RATE OF SPEED, LIKE MOST PEOPLE DO THROUGH THE NEIGHBORHOOD.
- Q. WHICH DIRECTS -- THAT STREET THAT YOU'VE INDICATED THERE IS WHAT STREET?
  - A. I BELIEVE IT'S AMELIA.
  - O. AND WHICH DIRECTION WAS THE VEHICLE GOING?
  - A. NORTH TO SOUTH, I BELIEVE.
  - Q. WELL --
- A. TOP OF THE PICTURE TO THE BOTTOM OF THE PICTURE.

Q.	50	11	WAS	HEADED	MORE	TOWARD	MEDILL:

3087

A. YES, YEAH.

MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, SIR.

IF I COULD HAVE JUST A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

Q. WHEN THE VEHICLE PASSED BY, WERE YOU ABLE TO SEE HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE IN THE VEHICLE?

A. NO, I COULDN'T SEE, DUE TO THE SUN AND GLARE.

Q. I ASKED YOU APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME YOU THOUGHT THAT WAS, AND I THINK INITIALLY YOU SAID IT WAS FIVE O'CLOCK. IF I WERE TO TELL YOU THAT THIS SHOOTING OCCURRED SOMETIME AROUND 2:30, 2:25, WOULD IT HAVE BEEN BEFORE THAT THAT YOU SAW THE VEHICLE?

A. I KNOW IT WAS BEFORE THE EMERGENCY VEHICLES SHOWED UP. AGAIN, IT'S BEEN SO LONG SINCE THEN, I DON'T RECALL ON THE TIMES.

- ${\tt Q.}$   ${\tt AND}$  ON THAT DAY, AT SOME POINT IN THAT AFTERNOON, DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER IT STARTED TO RAIN?
  - A. I DON'T RECALL.

 $\mbox{MR. MCALLISTER:}$  THANK YOU, SIR. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE?

MR. ROAKE: NO, THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU.

27

25 26

>= 12||

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

•	
•	

#### CARS, IS EXHAUST.

- Q. AND, IN FACT, YOU'VE CHANGED THE EXHAUST ON SOME OF YOUR VEHICLES; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. EVERY ONE OF THEM.
- Q. AND YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE SOUND OF A TURBO ENGINE?
  - A. YEAH. THEY'RE A LITTLE MORE DISTINCTIVE.
- Q. AND YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE SOUND OF A DEFECTIVE MUFFLER?
  - A. DEFECTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEM? YEAH.
- Q. YES, A DEFECTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEM.

  AND YOU'RE ALSO FAMILIAR WITH THE SOUND OF
  A MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM?
  - A. YEAH.

----10

11

12

13 14

15

16ll

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- Q. AND WHEN THE POLICE FIRST CAME TO TALK TO YOU ON APRIL 14TH, AFTER MR. BRUCKER -- WELL, WHEN THEY CAME TO TALK TO YOU, YOU REALIZED SOMETHING VERY BAD HAD HAPPENED; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES.
- Q. WERE YOU AWARE AT THAT TIME THAT, IN FACT, MR. BRUCKER HAD BEEN SHOT AND KILLED?
- A. NOT UNTIL MY FATHER CAME BACK FROM THE SCENE. HE WENT THERE TO SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON, AND HE CAME BACK AND SAID SOMEONE HAD BEEN SHOT.
- Q. SO WHEN YOU TALKED TO THE POLICE, WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU KNEW THAT SOMETHING VERY BAD HAD HAPPENED AND THAT SOMETHING VERY SERIOUS HAD HAPPENED?

Α.	YF	C

- Q. AND THE POLICE CAME TO YOU SPECIFICALLY TO TALK ABOUT GETTING A DESCRIPTION OF THE VEHICLE?
  - A. YES.
- Q. AND WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU GAVE THEM AS ACCURATE A DESCRIPTION AS YOU COULD REMEMBER?
  - A. OF COURSE.
- Q. AND BECAUSE OF THE SERIOUSNESS OF WHAT JUST HAPPENED, YOU WERE SURE TO MAKE THAT AS ACCURATE AS POSSIBLE?
  - A. OF COURSE.

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

- Q. AND YOU SPECIFICALLY TOLD THEM ABOUT THE
  LOUDNESS THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED, AND YOU SPECIFICALLY
  TOLD THEM THAT THE LOUDNESS -- THE LOUD EXHAUST
  NOISE CAME FROM A MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM, AS
  OPPOSED TO A DEFECTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEM; IS THAT
  RIGHT?
- A. I WOULD SAY THAT IT CAME FROM AN
  OUTSTANDING TONE OF AN EXHAUST SYSTEM, SIMPLY
  BECAUSE OF THE WAY IT CAUGHT MY ATTENTION. I CAN'T
  SAY IT WAS DEFINITELY A MODIFIED ONE, BECAUSE OF NOT
  ACTUALLY SEEING IT, BUT UNDER MY ASSUMPTION, I WOULD
  SAY IT WAS MODIFIED, YES.
- Q. OKAY. AND, IN FACT, YOU DESCRIBED IT -AND THIS WAS BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A MECHANIC,
  AS A -- THAT IT WAS DEFINITELY NOT A MARKET EXHAUST
  SYSTEM; IS THAT RIGHT?

うんぴんむ

Α.	PR	ОВА	BL	Υ	NOT,	YES
_	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN					

- Q. WELL, YOU INDICATED IT WAS DEFINITELY NOT; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - AT THE TIME, YES, I DID SAY THAT.
- AND YOU DESCRIBED IT AS WELL MAINTAINED, A NICE SPEED, A CLEAN EXHAUST SOUND; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. YEAH. IT DIDN'T SEEM TO HAVE ANY EXHAUST LEAKS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
- OKAY. AND YOU ALSO DESCRIBED THE VEHICLE AS BEING LIFTED; IS THAT RIGHT?
- IT APPEARED TO BE RIDING AT A HIGHER LEVEL THAN NORMAL.
- AND YOUR WAY OF DESCRIBING THAT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT, TO GIVE AN ACCURATE DESCRIPTION, WAS TO DESCRIBE THE VEHICLE AS BEING LIFTED?
  - YES, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THAT, YEAH.
- Q. NOW, MR. VANGORKUM, YOU WERE SHOWN A PHOTOGRAPH JUST NOW -- OR, ACTUALLY, A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS -- AND YOU WERE ASKED IF YOU RECOGNIZED THAT VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - CORRECT.

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- AND HAD YOU SEEN THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS BEFORE? Q.
- YES, I HAVE. Α.
- AND WHEN DID YOU SEE THEM? Q.
- I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHEN, BUT IT WAS AFTER THE INCIDENT OCCURRED. IT WAS, LIKE, THE SECOND TIME I WAS SEEN BY SOMEBODY.
  - AND DO YOU RECALL WHO SHOWED YOU THE

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

24

PHOTOGRAPHS?

- A. I'VE SEEN SO MANY PEOPLE, I DON'T RECALL.
- DO YOU REMEMBER, WHEN YOU TOOK THE WITNESS STAND. THERE WAS A FELLOW WITH GRAY HAIR AND A BEARD SITTING IN THE MIDDLE SEAT THERE?
  - A. YES.
- O. IS THAT THE FELLOW WHO SHOWED YOU THE PHOTOGRAPHS?
- A. I'VE SEEN HIM BEFORE, I'VE TALKED TO HIM BEFORE, YES, BUT I CAN'T RECALL IF HE SHOWED ME THE PICTURES.
- O. AND WHEN YOU SAY "THE PHOTOGRAPHS." I'M REFERRING SPECIFICALLY TO THE FOUR PHOTOGRAPHS THAT ARE SHOWN IN PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. UH-HUH.
- Q. THESE ARE LARGER VERSIONS OF SOME OTHER PHOTOGRAPHS THAT WERE SHOWN TO YOU BEFORE?
  - A. CORRECT.
- Q. NOW, PRIOR TO YOU BEING SHOWN THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, WERE YOU EVER SHOWN A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS OF DIFFERENT BRONCOS, OF DIFFERENT COLORS OR DIFFERENT STYLES. AND ASKED IF YOU COULD PICK OUT FROM THOSE SERIES OF BRONCOS THE BRONCO THAT WAS DRIVING BY?
- A. I BELIEVE HE DID. I BELIEVE HE SHOWED ME A 26 SERIES OF TWO OR THREE DIFFERENT CARS.
  - Q. YOU THINK HE DID THAT?
  - A. I BELIEVE SO, YEAH. AGAIN, IT'S BEEN A

Mount endence shows (Valse)

YES, IT HAS.

NOW, MR. VANGORKUM, WHEN YOU LOOKED AT THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT ARE IN PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, YOU INDICATED THAT THAT WAS THE VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?

- YES.
- OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER, WHEN YOU SPOKE TO MS. ROEHMHOLDT AROUND SEPTEMBER OF 2004, SHE ALSO ASKED YOU TO LOOK AT A PHOTOGRAPH?
  - YES. Α.
- AND SHE GAVE YOU THE PHOTOGRAPH, AND SHE ASKED YOU TO LOOK AT IT AND TELL YOU IF THAT VEHICLE LOOKED SIMILAR TO THE VEHICLE THAT YOU HAD SEEN DRIVING BY; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. CORRECT.
  - AND YOU LOOKED AT THAT PHOTOGRAPH AS WELL?
  - YES.

(DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT D, VOL. 18, PHOTO BOARD, MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. AND SHE ASKED YOU TO LOOK AT THAT PHOTOGRAPH AND MAKE SOME COMMENTS ABOUT THE PHOTOGRAPH AND THEN SIGN IT; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - CORRECT, YES.
  - AND DATE IT? Q.
  - YES.
- MR. MCALLISTER: YOUR HONOR, PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO BE HEARD.

THE COURT: WE'LL HEAR YOU AT THE BREAK. I'M ASSUMING THIS IS A DISCOVERY ISSUE.

MR. MCALLISTER: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

Q. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU NOW WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS DEFENSE EXHIBIT D, AND ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THAT, AND ALSO LOOK AT THE SIGNATURE ON IT, AND LET ME KNOW IF YOU RECOGNIZE IT?

A. RECOGNIZE THE VEHICLE AS THE VEHICLE I SAW OR THE PICTURE?

- NO, RECOGNIZE THE PHOTOGRAPH.
- I HAVE SEEN THIS PHOTOGRAPH BEFORE, YES.
- AND WHERE DID YOU SEE THE PHOTOGRAPH Q.

BEFORE?

- WELL, THAT'S THE ONE THAT SHE SHOWED ME.
- Q. AND BY "SHE," YOU MEAN MS. ROEHMHOLDT; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - YES.
- AND THE QUESTION THAT SHE ASKED OF YOU WHEN SHE SHOWED YOU THE PHOTOGRAPH, DOES THAT LOOK SIMILAR TO THE VEHICLE YOU SAW?
  - I BELIEVE THAT WAS THE QUESTION, YEAH.
  - AND DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOUR RESPONSE WAS?
  - I DON'T RECALL MY RESPONSE.
- DO YOU REMEMBER SAYING THAT "THAT IS THE ONE. THAT'S THE ONE"?

I HONESTLY CAN'T SAY.

11

12

15

16

18

19

21

₹22

23

25

26

28

: ~ 27li

26

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

24

28

***	
	4
	(
	,
	8
	9
1	(
1	
1	2
1	
1	4
1	
1	6

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

- Q. DO YOU REMEMBER SAYING THAT "THAT'S

  DEFINITELY THE ONE," AND THAT YOU WOULD PUT MONEY ON

  IT?
- A. I GUESS I MAY HAVE SAID THAT. I DON'T RECALL IF I SAID THAT OR NOT.
- Q. SO YOU DON'T RECALL AT THIS POINT WHETHER YOU SAID THAT OR NOT?
  - A. NO, I DON'T.
- Q. BUT THIS IS YOUR SIGNATURE, AND YOU DO
  RECOGNIZE THIS AS A PHOTOGRAPH OF A FORD BRONCO THAT
  MS. ROEHMHOLDT SHOWED YOU ON SEPTEMBER 13TH?
  - A. YES, SHE SHOWED ME THAT PICTURE, YES.
- MS. VANDENBOSCH: YOUR HONOR, MAY I BE PERMITTED TO PUBLISH THIS TO THE JURY AT THIS TIME?
- MR. MCALLISTER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK TO WAIT.

THE COURT: LET'S HAVE THE BREAK, AND, BASED ON THE DISCUSSION, YOU CAN OR CANNOT PUBLISH IT TO THE JURY.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OKAY.

#### BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. FINALLY, MR. VANGORKUM, DO YOU RECALL WHETHER YOU GAVE A PARTICULAR YEAR THAT YOU THOUGHT THAT THE FORD BRONCO THAT YOU SAW PASSING BY YOUR HOUSE MIGHT HAVE BEEN -- WHAT YEAR MODEL, I MEAN?
- A. I BELIEVE I SAID ON MY ORIGINAL STATEMENT

  IT WAS BETWEEN '70S AND '80S.
  - Q. IN FACT, IN YOUR ORIGINAL STATEMENT TO THE

POLICE, YOU INDICATED THE MODEL THAT YOU SAW, YOU ASSUMED TO BE LATE '70S OR EARLY '80S; IS THAT RIGHT?

- A. CORRECT.
- Q. AND, IN FACT, YOU'RE SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH BRONCOS BECAUSE SOME OF YOUR FRIENDS HAVE THEM; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. LITTLE BIT. ONE OF MY FRIENDS HAS A BRONCO II, WHICH IS A SMALLER VERSION OF THIS BRONCO.
  - Q. THAT'S A SMALLER VERSION?
- A. YEAH.

11

17

18

19

20

22

23

28

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$  . VANDENBOSCH: IF I MAY JUST HAVE A MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SURE. BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

Q. I'M SORRY. THERE IS ONE AREA THAT I NEGLECTED, MR. VANGORKUM.

YOU WERE ALSO SPECIFICALLY ASKED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT, ON APRIL 14TH, WHEN YOU WERE GIVING YOUR ORIGINAL DESCRIPTION, WHETHER YOU SAW ANY EMBLEMS OR DECALS OR BADGES OR ANYTHING ON THE

- VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?

  A. CORRECT, YES.
- Q. AND YOU INDICATED THAT THE -- WHATEVER YOU SAW WAS CONSISTENT WITH A STANDARD BRONCO; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YEAH, WHICH IS THE FENDER BADGING.

- A. YEAH.
- O. AND YOU WERE ASKED WHETHER YOU SAW ANY DISTINCTIVE FEATURES ON THE PARTICULAR BRONCO THAT WENT BY?
  - A. AS FAR AS?
  - Q. JUST DISTINGUISHING FEATURES IN GENERAL.
  - A. IN GENERAL, YES.
- Q. AND YOU WERE SPECIFICALLY ASKED ABOUT A BACK TIRE; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. I'VE BEEN ASKED THAT BEFORE, YES.
- Q. IN FACT, YOU MENTIONED THAT THERE WAS A BACK TIRE ON THIS PARTICULAR BRONCO?
- A. I BELIEVE ON MY ORIGINAL STATEMENT, YES, I SAID THERE WAS.
- Q. AND, IN FACT, A BACK TIRE THAT SWINGS OUT, THAT'S STANDARD ON THESE BRONCOS; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. YEAH, IT WOULD BE A SWINGING SPARE TIRE ON THE REAR.
- O. AND YOU INDICATED THAT YOU HAD SEEN THAT BACK TIRE?
  - A. YES.

17

- Q. AND IS IT FAIR TO YOU THAT YOU SAW NOTHING -- DO YOU RECALL AT THIS TIME WHETHER THAT BACK TIRE WAS COVERED OR UNCOVERED?
- A. I DON'T RECALL OFF MEMORY. NO. I DON'T RECALL.
  - Q. SO THERE WAS NOTHING ABOUT HOW THAT BACK

TIRE LOOKED --

- A. LET ME REPHRASE MY ANSWER. I DON'T RECALL IF THERE WAS OR WAS NOT A COVER ON THE SPARE TIRE, OFF MY MEMORY.
- Q. SO THERE WAS NOTHING ABOUT THE WAY THAT BACK TIRE LOOKED, EITHER COVERED OR UNCOVERED, THAT WAS DISTINCTIVE IN ANY WAY?
- A. NO, BUT SOME PEOPLE HAVE A COVER, AND SOME DON'T. IT'S NOTHING SUBSTANTIAL.
- MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU. NOW I AM DONE. THANK YOU.

THE COURT: MS. ROSENFELD.

MS. ROSENFELD: I'M DONE, TOO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MR. MCALLISTER, ANY REDIRECT?

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

26

- O. THE COVERS ON THOSE BACK TIRES, LOOKING. AGAIN, AT PEOPLE'S 20, YOU CAN SEE IN PHOTOGRAPH C, YOU CAN SEE THAT COVER?
  - A. YES.
- Q. IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, BECAUSE YOU -- I MEAN, YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THESE KINDS OF VEHICLES, BASED UPON YOUR EXPERIENCE, RIGHT?
  - A. YEAH, I THINK THAT'S FAIR TO SAY.
- Q. WELL, THOSE COVERS, THE ONE THAT'S DEPICTED THERE IN PHOTOGRAPH C, THAT'S THE KIND THAT CAN JUST BE TAKEN RIGHT OFF, ISN'T IT?

of did I have a Karley Cover one it?

A. YEAH. THAT'S ACTUALLY AN AFTER-MARKET COVER.

- Q. IN FACT, IT LOOKS LIKE --
- A. IT LOOKS LIKE A HARLEY DAVIDSON COVER.
- Q. LOOKS LIKE A HARLEY DAVIDSON COVER?
- A. YEAH.
- Q. AND JUST FOR POINT OF CLARIFICATION, THIS
  IS THE VEHICLE YOU SAW THAT DAY?
- A. I BELIEVE IT'S THAT VEHICLE OVER THIS VEHICLE, YES.

THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY "THIS VEHICLE," COULD YOU CLARIFY WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO?

THE WITNESS: THIS VEHICLE IN THE PICTURE.

THE COURT: AND, MR. MCALLISTER, THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ON THAT?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . MCALLISTER: THE EXHIBIT NUMBER ON THAT IS DEFENSE D.

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

Q. ONE MORE QUESTION, SIR.

IN EXHAUST SYSTEMS, IF YOU HAVE A POST MUFFLER HOLE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, CAN THAT SOMETIMES SOUND MODIFIED?

A. YOU MEAN A HOLE IN THE MUFFLER? IT WOULD --

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OBJECTION, LACK OF FOUNDATION.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. BASED UPON HIM HAVING

MORE THAN ORDINARY KNOWLEDGE, I'M GOING TO ALLOW HIM

TO OFFER AN OPINION.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16l

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Holle Guer to

THE WITNESS: A HOLE IN THE MUFFLER WOULD SOUND MORE LIKE A STRAIGHT EXHAUST SYSTEM. IT WOULD HAVE MORE BACKFIRE IN THE EXHAUST. IT WOULDN'T HAVE A SOLID, DEEP TONE IN THE EXHAUST.

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

- Q. AND WOULD IT DEPEND ON WHERE IN THE EXHAUST LINE IT WAS? FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU HAD A MUFFLER OR A CATALYTIC CONVERTER OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND IT WAS ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER, WOULD THAT CHANGE THERE?
- A. IT WOULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE. IF IT WAS

  AFTER THE MUFFLER, IT WOULDN'T MAKE THE SOUND TOO

  MUCH, SIMPLY BECAUSE THE MUFFLER DOES MUFFLE THE

  SOUND.

IF IT WAS BEFORE THE MUFFLER, MEANING BETWEEN THE MUFFLER AND THE ENGINE, IT WOULD BE DISTINCTLY A STRAIGHT MOTOR SOUND. IT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY CHANGE TOO MUCH BY THE CATALYTIC CONVERTERS OR THE MUFFLER.

MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU, SIR. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE?

MR. ROAKE: NO, THANK YOU.

THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: NOTHING FURTHER, THANK YOU.

THE COURT: AND MS. ROSENFELD?

MS. ROSENFELD: NO.

THE COURT: MAY MR. VANGORKUM BE EXCUSED?

MR. MCALLISTER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

K\*

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

would, and see if you recall anything being said by Mr. Van Gorkum. Yes. Yeah, as I had testified to, he had said that the recognized the rims on the vehicle as well, and that I'm sure would have been an after-market item. Anvthing about decals or emblems on the car? I don't remember emblems. I'd have to look at my report again. 10 I don't see anything regarding emblems or 11 decals. 12 13 As to you -- as to your discussion with Ο. Mr. Dustin Van Gorkum, he doesn't mention that? 1.4 Not that I see, no. 16 Does he talk to you about the exhaust 17 system? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. An he was able to distinguish a 20 modified exhaust system in his mind as opposed to a crummy muffler or leak in a muffler; is that right? 21 22 MR. MCALLISTER: I'm objecting to that question. 23 That assumes facts not in evidence. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25 BY MR. MANGARIN: 26 Didn't he talk to you about the muffler? 27 He indicated that it sounded like it was a

deep-throated sound exhaust or words to that effect.

```
That's the extent of his description?
                 I believe so.
            Α.
                 Do you want to take a look?
            Α.
                 Yeah. Deep-throated exhaust.
                 He makes no distinction in your interview
            Q.
        about the modified exhaust system?
                 No.
                 And you don't recall him making that
     8
            Q.
        previous statement to law enforcement?
   10
            A. ._No..
                 Okay. Sir, with respect to Mr. Leonard,
   11
        you personally spoke with him as well, right?
   12
   13
            A. I did.
                 Again was your scope of your contact with
    14
        him just to show a photograph to see whether it
   15
   16
        resembled the car?
   17
            Α.
                 ves.
   18
                 It wasn't -- you weren't there to
        investigate or elicit further information or facts
        related to this homicide case?
   20
   21
            A. No. Again, though, if I'm up there, I
        might have a brief conversation with this witness,
   22
        so it's possible that I wouldn't mind asking him a
   23
        few questions about, "Hey, what happened? what did
   24
   25
        you say?"
  ≥ 26
                 And this wasn't recorded as well, right?
            Q.
    27
            Α.
                 NO.
_> 28
            Q.
                 And at one point, didn't he describe seeing
```

Folk Expert WIT

Bretise

- 1 A. SOCIETY OF AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERS, COMMONLY
- 2 AS S.A.E., SOCIETY OF COLLISION REPAIR SPECIALISTS,
- 3 AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION REPAIR ASSOCIATION, THE AUTOMOTIVE
- SERVICE COUNCIL, SOCIETY OF ACCIDENT RECONSTRUCTIONIST. 4
- CALIFORNIA INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA 5
- 6 TEACHERS ASSOCIATION AS WELL AS OTHERS.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, MR. FOLCK, I WANT TO ASK YOU WITH
  - RESPECT TO YOUR BACKGROUND IN FORENSIC -- AS A FORENSIC
- 9 EXPERT IN AUTOMOTIVE MECHANICS, DO YOU -- HAVE YOU HAD
- 10 OPPORTUNITIES TO EVALUATE EXHAUST SYSTEMS IN VEHICLES?
- 11 A. YES.
- 12 O. AND HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU SAY IN THE COURSE OF
- YOUR EMPLOYMENT YOU'VE DONE THAT? 13
- A. FOR OVER 40 YEARS. 1.4
- 15 Q. NOW, GIVEN YOUR BACKGROUND WITH THE EVALUATION
- OF EXHAUST SYSTEMS IN VEHICLES, CAN YOU TELL US WHETHER 16
- 17 THERE IS A DISTINCTION BETWEEN A MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM
- IN A VEHICLE AND A DEFECTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEM IN A VEHICLE? 18
- A. WORKING IN THE INDUSTRY REPLACING THE 19
- COMPONENTS, EVEN RECOGNIZING -- AFTER A WHILE, YOU CAN 20
- RECOGNIZE THE MAKE OF THE MANUFACTURER OF THE VEHICLE AS 21
- 22 IT GOES BY. THE -- THE TONE OF THE EXHAUST WILL BE SO
- 23 DISTINCTIVE WHEN THEY'RE STOCK COMPARED TO MODIFIED, THEN
- ---24 YOU GET A PVLSATION OR A ROBUST SOUND, SOMETHING MUSCULAR
  - 25 AS COMPARED TO SOMETHING THAT IS WORN OUT, A LEAK.
  - 26 O. LET'S DEFINE THE TWO. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT A
  - 27 WORN OUT OR DEFECTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEM, WHAT TYPE OF AN
  - 28 EXHAUST SYSTEM ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?

- A. CLASSICALLY, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ONE HAS EITHER
- BEEN IMPACTED AND TORN OPEN OR IT'S RUSTED THROUGH A.ND
- THEN THE SOUND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE VEHICLE CHANGE
- DRAMATICALLY.
- Q. OKAY, AND WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT AN EXHAUST
- SYSTEM, WHAT ARE THE COMPONENTS OF THE EXHAUST SYSTEM FOR
- THOSE OF US WHO DON'T NECESSARILY KNOW?
- A. WELL, LET'S IMAGINE THE VEHICLE IS RIGHT HERE,
- HERE'S THE FRONT TIRES, HERE'S THE REAR TIRES, THE ENGINE,
- CLASSICALLY YOU'LL HAVE A CAST IRON EXHAUST HEADER THEN 10
- 11 YOU'LL HAVE THE HEADER PIPE, THEN YOU'LL HAVE CATALYTIC
- 12 CONVERTER, ANOTHER SHORT PIPE, THEN THE MUFFLER AND THEM
- FINALLY A TAIL PIPE AS WELL AS HANGERS AND BRACKETS AND 1.3
- 1.4 CLAMPS.
- 15 Q. SO THE MUFFLER IS PART OF A -- PART OF AN
- 16 EXHAUST SYSTEM?
- 17 A. OH, YES.
- 18 Q. AND WHAT IS THE FUNCTION OF A MUFFLER IN AN
- EXHAUST SYSTEM? 19
- A. IT NULLIFIES OR CANCELS OUT THE HEAVY EXHAUST 20
- PULSATIONS AS THE EXHAUST LEAVES THE CYLINDER. IT LESSENS 21
- 22 THE NOISE.
- 23 Q. AND HOW -- HOW SPECIFICALLY CAN AN EXHAUST
- 24 SYSTEM BE DEFECTIVE?
- A. WELL, YOU CAN HAVE IT WEAR OUT, YOU CAN HAVE, 25
- 26 AGAIN, AN IMPACT WHERE THE EXHAUST IS MECHANICALLY RIPPED
- 27 OPEN. YOU CAN HAVE A CLAMP FAILURE AND THE MUFFLER FALLS
- OFF, HANGAR FAILURE, CURB STRIKE, YOU CAN HAVE A NUMBER OF

4/	
人	

2

STATEMENT THAT A -- THAT A FELLOW BY THE NAME OF DUSTIN VAN GORKUM HAD GIVEN POLICE ON AFRIL 14th OF 2003?

- 3 MR. MC ALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR,
- 4 RELEVANCE.
- 5 THE COURT: WELL, IN TERMS OF REVIEWING IT,
- 6 OBJECTION OVERRULED. LET'S FIND OUT WHAT HAPPENS BASED
- 7 UPON THAT REVIEW.
- 8 THE WITNESS: YES, I DID.
- 9 BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:
- 10 Q. OKAY. AND DID YOU, LIKEWISE, HAVE A CHANCE TO
- 11 REVIEW A TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY OF DUSTIN VAN GORKUM
- 12 DURING THE -- DURING THIS TRIAL?
- 13 A. YES, I DID.
- 14 Q. AND ON -- IN REVIEWING BOTH OF THOSE DOCUMENTS,
- 15 BOTH THE STATEMENT ON APRIL 14th AND THE TESTIMONY IN
- 16 COURT TODAY, DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO REVIEW HOW
- 17 MR. VAN GORKUM DESCRIBED THE SOUND OF THE VEHICLE THAT HE
- 18 OBSERVED ON APRIL 14th OF 2003?
- 19 MR. MC ALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR,
- 20 RELEVANCE.
- THE COURT: WELL, MS. VANDENBOSCH.
- MS. VANDENBOSCH: THE NEXT QUESTION WILL BE THE
- 23 QUESTION.
- 24 THE COURT: THE NEXT QUESTION WILL BE THE
- 25 QUESTION. OKAY. OVERPULED AT THIS TIME, WAITING FOR THE
- 26 MAGIC QUESTION.
- 27 BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:
- 28 Q. AND IN DOING SO, HAVE YOU -- IN REVIEWING



5

MR. VAN GORKUM'S PRIOR STATEMENTS AND TESTIMONY, HAVE YOU FORMED AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER THE SOUND OF THE EXHAUST SYSTEM MR. VAN GORKUM HEARD ON APRIL 14th OF 2003 IS SIMILAR TO THE SOUND OF THE EXHAUST SYSTEM IN ERIC ANDERSON'S VEHICLE?

- 6 MR. MC ALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, CALLS
- 7 FOR IMPROPER CONCLUSION AND LACKS FOUNDATION.
- 8 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
- 9 BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:
- 10 Q. IN YOUR EXPERT OPINION, IN YOUR OPINION, IS
- 11 THE -- DOES THE EXHAUST SYSTEM ON MR. ANDERSON'S VEHICLE
  - SOUND LIKE A MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM?

#### to the same the same and the same same to the same to

#### A. ABSOLUTELY NOT.

- 14 MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER
- 15 QUESTIONS.
- 16 THE COURT: MR. MC ALLISTER.

# 17

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 19 BY MR. MC ALLISTER:
- Q. SIR, I'LL TRY TO BE BRIEF. THE -- A DEFECTIVE
- 21 EXHAUST SYSTEM IS LOUD?
- 22 A. IT CAN BE.
  - Q. YOU OFTEN FIND THAT A -- AN EXHAUST SYSTEM WITH
  - 24 A HOLE IN IT LIKE THE ONE YOU SAW IN THIS PARTICULAR FORD
  - 25 BRONCO WOULD BE LOUD?
  - 26 A. IT MORE THAN LIKELY WOULD BE LOUD.
  - 27 Q. AND I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT PEOPLE
  - 28 GO AHEAD AND DO MODIFICATIONS TO THEIR EXHAUST AND ONE OF



- MECHANICAL THINGS AS WELL AS A WEAR OUT IN RUST.
- Q. OKAY. WHEN YOU SAY "WEAR OUT IN RUST," WHAT
- 3 SPECIFICALLY ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
- 4 A. ONE OF THE -- ONE OF THE BY-PRODUCTS OF
- 5 COMBUSTION IN AN ENGINE, A GASOLINE ENGINE IS WATER VAPOR.
- 6 THE WATER VAPOR WILL POOL IN THE EXHAUST SYSTEM. IN THE
- MORNINGS WHEN YOU START YOUR CAR, ESPECIALLY ON A COLD
- 8 MORNING, IT'S THAT WHITE VAPOR THAT APPEARS AT THE TAIL
- 9 PIPE. ON A WARMER DAY, YOU WON'T SEE IT. IF ANY OF YOU
- 10 ARE FROM THE SNOW BELT, YOU'LL SEE IT ALL DAY LONG AS THE
- 11 CAR'S -- EXCUSE ME -- BEING DRIVEN. THAT WATER VAPOR
- 12 PROMOTES RUSTING.
- 13 NOW, THE MORE THEY MAKE THE EXHAUST SYSTEM
- 14 A STAINLESS STEEL TYPE OF EXHAUST, LESS RUST TAKES PLACE.
- 15 AND THE MORE OF A STANDARD STEEL, THE GREATER THE RUSTING
- 16 OF THE EXHAUST SYSTEM.
- 17 Q. AND WOULD THE RUSTING OF AN EXHAUST SYSTEM,
- 18 WOULD THAT SOMETIMES CAUSE THERE TO BE A HOLE OR SOME TYPE
- 19 OF GAP IN THE -- IN THE MUFFLER SYSTEM OF THE VEHICLE?
- 20 A. CLASSICALLY, THE RUST HOLES ARE ON THE BOTTOM
- 21 WHERE THE WATER CONDENSES AND LITERALLY ROTS IT THROUGH.
- 22 Q. CKAY. WHEN YOU SAY "LITERALLY ROTS IT THROUGH,"
- 23 YOU MEAN IT ACTUALLY MAKES A. HOLE IN THE METAL SO THAT
- 24 THERE ISN'T A HOLE IN THE A.CTUAL MUFFLER OR IN SOME OTHER
- 25 PART OF THE EXHAUST SYSTEM?
- 26 A. WELL, OF COURSE, YOU CAN HAVE HOLES IN THE
- 27 PIPES, BUT IN THE MUFFLER, CLASSICALLY, IT'S IN THE SEAMS,
- 28 THE END CAPS, THEY ROT THROUGH.



- 1 Q. OKAY. NOW, YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU'RE ALSO
- 2 FAMILIAR WITH MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEMS --
- A. YES.
- 4 Q. -- IS THAT RIGHT?
- 5 HOW CAN AN EXHAUST SYSTEM ON A PARTICULAR
- 6 VEHICLE BE MODIFIED?
- 7 A. WELL, YOU CAN START WITH HEADERS, EITHER EQUAL
- 8 IN LENGTH OR A SHORTIES, AND THEN A LARGER DIAMETER LIKE A
- 9 SPORTS PIPE. THEN A HIGH CAPACITY CATALYTIC CONVERTER
- 10 WHERE THE EXHAUST CAN GET THROUGH EASIER WITHOUT LESSENED
- 11 BACK PRESSURE AND THEN FINALLY, A HIGH PERFORMANCE
- 12 MUFFLER, LARGER INLET, LARGER OUTLET, ALLOWING THE EXHAUST
- 13 TO GET OUT EASIER.
- Q. SO THERE ARE DIFFERENT TYPES OF WAYS THAT A
- 15 VEHICLE CAN BE MODIFIED?
- 16 A. YES, YOU CAN HAVE A CAT BACK SYSTEM THAT GIVES A
- REAL ENTHUSIAST-TYPE NOTE TO THE CAR. YOU CAN HAVE A
- 18 FULL-FLEDGED EXHAUST SYSTEM WHERE ITS HEADERS, HIGH
- 19 PERFORMANCE CAT, AND THEN A SPORT EXHAUST SYSTEM AFTER
- 20 THAT.
- 21 Q. SO THERE ARE ESSENTIALLY, FROM WHAT YOU'RE
- 22 SAYING, THREE DIFFERENT -- THERE WOULD BE THREE DIFFERENT
- 23 WAYS TO MODIFY A EXHAUST SYSTEM ON A VEHICLE?
- 24 A. YES. HEADERS, HIGH PERFORMANCE CAP, HIGH
- 25 PERFORMANCE MUFFLER, LARGE CAP.
- Q. DOES A MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM, ANY ONE OF THE
- 27 THREE, DOES THAT ALSO CHANGE THE SOUND OF -- OF A VEHICLE
- 28 AS IT IS -- AS IT IS RUNNING?



2	EXHAUST SYSTEM IS THE SOUND. IT WILL CHANGE THE SOUND OF
3	THE VEHICLE. VERY FEW VEHICLES ARE MODIFIED TO MAKE THE
4	CARS QUIETER. A MODIFIED EXHAUST ENCOURAGES OR PROMOTES A
5	MORE ROBUST OR PULSATING SOUND.
6	Q. AND HOW WHAT WOULD THERE BE A DISTINCTION
7	BETWEEN THE SOUND OF AN ENGINE THAT HAS OR A SOUND OF A
8	VEHICLE THAT'S RUNNING ON A DEFECTIVE EXHAUST SYSTEM, SUCH
9	AS A HOLE IN THE MUFFLER AS OPPOSED TO A VEHICLE THAT'S
10	RUNNING ON A MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM?
11	A. YES.
12	Q. AND WHAT IS THAT DISTINCTION?
13	A. WELL, THEY ARE DISTINGUISHABLE. A SPORT OR
14	MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM WILL HAVE A PULSATION. IF IT HAS
15	HEADERS, YOU'LL HEAR A RESONING OR RINGING IN THE
16	BESIDES THE TONE, IT GETS AWAY FROM A MONOTONE. IT IT
17	STARTS TO BECOME PULSED AND WHAT WE DESCRIBE IN THE IN
18	THE INDUSTRY AS ROBUST OR MUSCULAR. WE'RE A WHERE A
19	DEFECTIVE MUFFLER, THE NOISE BECOMES LOUD, BUT IT ALSO
20	TURNS INTO A MONOTONE AND SOMETIMES YOU'LL HEAR BACKFIRES
21	OUT OF THE MOTOR AS YOU GET OFF ESPECIALLY THE OLD
22	CARBURETOR DAYS, YOU'LL START HEARING SOME HORRENDOUS
23	BACKFIRES WITH HOLES IN THE MUFFLERS.
24	Q. NOW, MR. FOLCK, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR YOUR
25	TIME AS A AS A FORENSIC EXPERT, DID I ASK YOU TO
26	CONDUCT AN EVALUATION OF A PARTICULAR 1989 FORD BRONCO?
27	A. YES, YOU DID.

Q. AND DO YOU RECALL -- AND DID YOU DO SUCH AN

28

A. ONE OF THE SELLING FACTORS OF A HIGH PERFORMANCE



1	FWAT	.UAT	ON?

- 2 A. YES, I DID.
  - Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU DID THAT?
- 4 A. FEBRUARY THE 17th, 2005.
- 5 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHERE YOU DID THAT?
- 6 A. AT THE SHERIFF'S HOLDING YARD.
- 7 Q. ALL RIGHT.
- 8 A. IN WELD -- OFF OF WELD.
- 9 Q. OKAY. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED
- 10 PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT
- 11 THIS -- AT THIS EXHIBIT AND TELL ME WHETHER YOU RECOGNIZE
- 12 THE VEHICLE IN THIS EXHIBIT.
- 13 A. YES, I DO.
- 14 Q. OKAY. AND HOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE IT?
- 15 A. WELL, THE LICENSE NUMBER AND THE FRAME ARE ON
- 16 THE LICENSE NUMBER AND THEN THE OVERALL APPEARANCE. I
- 17 THINK -- I THINK THE NUMBERS ARE STILL ON THE WINDSHIELD.
- 18 Q. OKAY. AND IS THIS, IN FACT, THE VEHICLE THAT
- 19 YOU INSPECTED ON FEBRUARY 17th OF 2005?
- 20 A. YES, IT EVEN HAS WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE SAME
- 21 WHEELS, YES.
  - 22 Q. OKAY. NOW, WHAT DID YOUR INSPECTION CONSIST OF?
  - 23 A. MY CONCENTRATION WAS DIRECTED AT THE EXHAUST
  - 24 SYSTEM TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE WHETHER IT WAS A MODIFIED
  - 25 EXHAUST ON THE VEHICLE.
  - Q. AND WHAT DID YOU -- WHAT -- WHAT SPECIFICALLY
  - 27 DID YOU DO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS VEHICLE HAD A
  - 28 MODIFIED EXHAUST SYSTEM OR SOME SORT OF DEFECTIVE EXHAUST

- SYSTEM?
- A. I -- I RAISED THE HOOD; I EXAMINED THE VEHICLE
- UNDER THE HOOD: I GOT UNDER THE VEHICLE: I EXAMINED THE
- REST OF THE EXHAUST SYSTEM; AND THEN I ALSO STARTED THE
- VEHICLE. 5
- O. OKAY. DID YOU -- IN THE COURSE OF YOUR 6
  - INSPECTION OF THIS VEHICLE, DID YOU FIND ANY INDICATION
  - THAT THE EXHAUST SYSTEM HAD BEEN -- HAD BEEN MODIFIED IN
- ANY WAY FROM, LET'S SAY, STOCK OR FACTORY?
- 10 A. NO, MY INSPECTION REVEALED THE EXHAUST SYSTEM ON
- THE VEHICLE WAS COMPLETELY ORIGINAL. IT WAS ALL STOCK.
- 12 Q. AND WITH RESPECT TO ANY DEFECTS IN THE EXHAUST
- 13 SYSTEM WHEN YOU INSPECTED IT, DID YOU FIND ANY?
- 14 A. YES. THERE WAS A NOTICEABLE HOLE IN THE FRONT
- OF THE MUFFLER. (INDICATING.) 15
- O. NOW, YOU INSPECTED IT ON FEBRUARY 17th OF 16
- 2005. DID YOU ALSO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY MORE RECENTLY TO
- 18 SEE THE VEHICLE AGAIN?
- 19 A. YES, AT THE INSPECTION DOWNSTAIRS BEHIND THE
- 20 COURTHOUSE.
- 21 O. SO WAS THAT LAST FRIDAY?
- 22 A. YES, I BELIEVE IT WAS.
- 23 Q. FOR THE -- COULD YOU PUT UP AGAIN, YOU INDICATED
- 24 HOW BIG THE HOLE WAS WITH YOUR HANDS?
- A. (INDICATING). WELL, THERE'S THE OUTLET OF THE 25
- EXHAUST ENTERING THE MUFFLER AND ALL AROUND THAT OUTLET 26
- THERE WAS A HOLE ROUGHLY ABOUT THIS BIG. 27
- 28 Q. AND FOR THE RECORD, YOU APPEAR TO HAVE YOUR HAND

- WITH SORT OF -- PERHAPS A 5 -- 5- TO 6-INCH DIAMETER
- A. WE'LL CALL IT A 5-INCH HOLE.
- Q. A 5-INCH HOLE. AND WAS THAT THE -- WAS THAT --
- IS THAT WHAT YOU OBSERVED ON FEBRUARY 17th?
- A. YES. 5
- Q. AND, IN FACT, LAST FRIDAY DURING THE VIEWING,
- DID YOU PLACE A SORT OF A MAGNETIC POINTING -- POINTING
- STICK TO THE AREA WHERE YOU HAD OBSERVED THE HOLE?
- A. WHERE THE -- WHERE THE HOLE WAS IN THE MUFFLER
- AND THEN THE PIPE WENT INTO THE MUFFLER. I PLACED THE
- MAGNET. SO IT TOUCHED THE PIPE ENTERING THE MUFFLER AND 7.7
- 12 SO THEN THE -- WELL, YOU COULDN'T SEE THE TOP OF THE HOLE.
- BUT YOU COULD SEE THE REST OF THE HOLE AROUND THE MIDDLE
- OF THE PIPE, I PLACED THE MAGNET ABOUT LIKE THAT.
- 15 (INDICATING.)
- Q. FOR THE RECORD, YOU'RE, AGAIN, HOLDING UP YOUR 16
- HAND, YOUR LEFT HAND IN SORT OF A -- TO SHOW A CIRCULAR
- FORM AND USING YOUR -- YOUR RIGHT HAND TO INDICATE WHERE
- THE -- WHERE THE HOLE IN THE MUFFLER WAS? 10
- 20 A. WHERE THE INLET PIPE AS WELL AS WHERE THE MAGNET
- 21 WAS.
- 22 ¶. OKAY. THA.NK YOU.
- 23 NOW, MR. FOLCK, DID YOU -- IN THE COURSE OF
- 24 YOUR WORK WITH RESPECT TO THIS PARTICULAR FORD BRONCO, DID
- YOU ALSO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW CERTAIN SEGMENTS OF
- A POLICE REPORT?
- 27 A. YES.
- Q. AND DID YOU, IN FACT, HAVE A CHANCE TO REVIEW A

Α.	ΤI	LOOKS	LIKE	Α	PURPLE	SHIKI.

MR. MCALLISTER: AND WE'RE BACK TO PURPLE, BUT I THINK THE RECORD WILL REFLECT THE IDENTIFICATION, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: IT WILL. HE'S IDENTIFIED MR. ERIC ANDERSON.

MR. MCALLISTER: THANK YOU.

BY MR. MCALLISTER:

- Q. SIR, BACK IN 2003, DID YOU RENT A CONDOMINIUM TO MR. ANDERSON?
  - A. YES.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- Q. AND WERE THERE ALSO OTHER PEOPLE STAYING THERE AT THE CONDOMINIUM THAT YOU RENTED TO?
  - OUR TWO SONS.
  - YOUR TWO SONS?
  - YES.
  - AND WHAT WERE THEIR NAMES, SIR? Q.
  - JAMES AND RICK.
- Q. NOW, WHEN YOU RENTED THAT CONDOMINIUM TO MR. ANDERSON, WERE YOU AWARE OF THE FACT THAT HE WAS ON PAROLE?
  - A. NO.
- WITH RESPECT TO YOUR SON JAMES, WERE YOU AWARE OF THE FACT THAT HE WAS ON PAROLE?
  - A. YES.
- Q. ABOUT HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME WOULD YOU SAY IT WAS THAT YOU RENTED PART OF THAT CONDOMINIUM TO MR. ANDERSON?

A. OH, GOLLY, I'LL GUESS A YEAR,	MAYBE
----------------------------------	-------

Q. AND WERE YOU AWARE DURING THAT PERIOD OF THE YEAR WHAT VEHICLE MR. ANDERSON DROVE ON A **REGULAR BASIS?** 

- A. FORD BRONCO.
- Q. FORD BRONCO?
- A. AND A MOTORCYCLE.
- Q. AT SOME POINT IN TIME AFTER -- ON OR AFTER FEBRUARY -- I'M SORRY, APRIL THE 24TH OF 2003, DID YOU ACTUALLY DRIVE THAT BRONCO?
  - YES.
- AND WHERE DID YOU START FROM WITH THAT DRIVE?
  - A. FROM THE CONDO.
  - Q. AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE THE VEHICLE TO?
  - TO MY HOME IN EL CAJON.
- Q. AND HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE SOUND OF THAT VEHICLE?
  - A. LIKE A BRONCO, I GUESS. I --
- Q. DID IT SEEM NORMAL, OR DID IT SEEM LOUD, OR WERE THERE ANY CHARACTERISTICS ABOUT IT THAT YOU NOTICED?
  - A. NO, NOT REALLY.
- Q. WHEN YOU TOOK THE -- LET ME ASK YOU -- ASK IT TO YOU THIS WAY: DID YOU BECOME AWARE AT SOME POINT IN TIME THAT A FORD F-150 THAT YOU WERE PART OWNER OF HAD BEEN TAKEN BY MR. ANDERSON?
  - A. YES.

12 13 14

11

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

28

28

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. GOOD MORNING, MR. FOLCK.
- A. GOOD MORNING.
- Q. MR. FOLCK, I APOLOGIZE FOR CALLING YOU
  BACK, BUT WHEN YOU TESTIFIED LAST WEEK, I FORGOT TO
  ASK YOU A QUESTION. YOU INDICATED THAT AS PART OF
  YOUR INVESTIGATION IN THIS CASE, YOU HAD EXAMINED
  THE FORD BRONCO, WHICH IS DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S
  EXHIBIT 20; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, MR. FOLCK, WHAT IS MEANT BY THE TERM "LIFTED," AS IT REFERS TO A VEHICLE?
- A. WELL, USUALLY YOU'RE REFERRING TO A TRUCK
  OR A SUV WHERE IT'S BEEN LIFTED; THE BODY IS LIFTED
  OFF THE SUSPENSION. THE SUSPENSION IS FARTHER DOWN
  TO GIVE IT AN OVERALL HIGHER RIDE HEIGHT.
- Q. OKAY. AND YOU DID HAVE A CHANCE TO EXAMINE THE FORD BRONCO DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES, I DID.
- Q. AND DURING THE EXAMINATION OF THAT VEHICLE, WAS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THE VEHICLE HAD BEEN LIFTED IN ANY WAY?
- A. NO. THE VEHICLE HAS NOT BEEN LIFTED. THIS

  IS THE WAY THE VEHICLE WAS MANUFACTURED. THIS IS

  STOCK.
  - MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU.
    - I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. MCALLISTER?

MR. MCALLISTER: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. I

HAVE NO QUESTIONS AT ALL.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE, ANYTHING?

MR. ROAKE: NO.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR COMING BACK. YOU'RE

FREE TO GO.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: NEXT THE DEFENSE WOULD CALL

SERGEANT SERRITELLA. \_\_\_

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

27

### CHRISTOPHER SERRITELLA,

4662

CALLED AS A WITNESS ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT ANDERSON, HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, SIR.

THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING.

THE CLERK: WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL

NAME AND SPELL YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

THE WITNESS: IT'S CHRISTOPHER SERRITELLA. S-E-R-R-I-T-E-L-L-A.

THE CLERK: THANK YOU.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

	ll .	
		<u> </u>
<b>\</b> 1	Q.	DID YOU EVER SEE HIM WITH A GOOFY-LOOKING
<sup>2</sup> 2	SALT-AND	-PEPPER WIG?
3 مشہ	Α.	NO.
¥ 4	Q.	DID YOU EVER SEE HIM WITH ANY FALSE BEARDS?
5	Α.	NO.
6	Q.	NOW, AROUND THE MIDDLE OF APRIL OF 2003,
7	DID YOU	SEE ANY NEWS COVERAGE CONCERNING THE BRUCKER
8	HOMICIDE	?
9	Α.	YES.
<del>-</del> 10	Q.	WAS ERIC WITH YOU AT THAT TIME?
11	Α.	NO, HE WASN'T.
12	Q.	WERE YOU EVER IN A ROOM WITH ERIC ANDERSON
/ 13	WHEN THE	COVERAGE OF THE BRUCKER HOMICIDE WAS AIRED
14	ON T.V.	AND HE TOLD SOMEBODY TO "SHUT THE FUCK UP"? $^{\circ}$
> 15	Α.	NO, NEVER.
16	Q.	WERE YOU EVER WITH A FELLOW BY THE NAME OF
17	TRAVIS NO	ORTHCUTT WATCHING T.V. AT YOUR CONDOMINIUM
18	THAT CONT	TAINED COVERAGE OF THE BRUCKER HOMICIDE?
19	Α	NO.
20	Q.	NOW, MR. STEVENS, DID YOU ACQUIRE A VEHICLE
21	AFTER YOU	J WERE PAROLED?
22	Α.	YES, I DID.
23	Q.	AND WHAT KIND OF A VEHICLE IS THAT?
24	Α.	IT WAS A FORD F-150.
25	Q.	AND WHAT COLOR THAT WAS?
26	Α.	WHITE.
27	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH WHAT KINDS OF
28	VEHICLES	ERIC ANDERSON DROVE WHEN HE WAS LIVING WITH

	- (	1
	1	١
	2	
	3	
	4	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	5
	6	<i>A</i>
	7	N
	8	
	9	V
	10	F
	11	T
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	Т
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
·	23	

YOU?

Jembo Saylan Bit

- A. YES.
- Q. AND WHAT KIND OF VEHICLES WERE THOSE?
- A. HIS FIRST WAS A SMALL PICKUP TRUCK, THE SECOND WAS A MID-SIZED DODGE, AND THE THIRD ONE WAS A FORD BRONCO, AND HE ALSO HAD A HARLEY-DAVIDSON MOTORCYCLE.
- Q. MR. STEVENS, I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S A VEHICLE DEPICTED IN PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20, FOUR PHOTOGRAPHS. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU IF YOU RECOGNIZE THE VEHICLE IN THAT EXHIBIT.
  - A. YES, I DO. IT WAS ERIC'S FORD BRONCO.
  - Q. THAT'S THE BRONCO THAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO?
  - A. YES.
- Q. NOW, DO YOU RECALL WHEN ERIC ANDERSON GOT THAT PARTICULAR FORD BRONCO?
  - A. YES, I DO.
  - Q. AND APPROXIMATELY WHEN WAS THAT?
  - . IT WAS THE EARLY PART OF 2003, I BELIEVE.
  - Q. DID YOU EVER DRIVE THAT FORD BRONCO?
  - A. YES.
  - Q. AND HOW OFTEN DID YOU DRIVE IT?
- A. JUST -- NOT ANY SCHEDULED TIME, BUT I DROVE IT FROM TIME TO TIME.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$  WAS IT UNUSUAL FOR ERIC ANDERSON AND YOU TO SHARE VEHICLES?
  - A. NOT UNUSUAL, NO.
  - Q. DID ERIC ANDERSON DRIVE YOUR FORD F-150?

- A. YES.
- Q. AND YOU DROVE HIS FORD BRONCO?
- A. I DROVE THE FORD BRONCO AND LOVED TO DRIVE HIS MOTORCYCLE.
- Q. DID YOU HAVE YOUR OWN SET OF KEYS TO THE FORD BRONCO?
  - A. YES, I DID.
- Q. NOW, MR. ANDERSON [SIC], I AM GOING TO --LET ME ASK YOU THIS: WHEN YOU DROVE THE FORD BRONCO, WAS IT UNUSUAL FOR YOU TO LEAVE ITEMS IN THE FORD BRONCO?
  - A. YES.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

- Q. WAS IT UNUSUAL?
- A. NO, IT WAS NOT UNUSUAL, I'M SORRY.
- Q. I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED
  AS DEFENSE EXHIBIT C, WHICH IS A DOCUMENT THAT IS -THAT'S HEADED "PAPERS FROM CENTER CONSOLE, FORD
  BRONCO," WHICH HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS PAPERWORK THAT
  WAS TAKEN FROM THE FORD BRONCO.

AND WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO IS I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LOOK THROUGH THAT PAPERWORK, AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO -- I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A GREEN PEN, AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO MARK THE PAPERWORK THAT YOU CAN IDENTIFY AS BELONGING TO YOU.

THE COURT: THERE'S A LOT OF PAGES.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$  . VANDENBOSCH: I COULDN'T THINK OF A BETTER WAY TO DO IT.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE DO THIS. YOU CAN THEN

3 MC

11

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

4766

INQUIRE AFTERWARDS IF HE DID IT ACCURATELY. WE'LL

TAKE OUR NOON RECESS, AND HE CAN REMAIN IN COURT AND

DO THAT, AND WE CAN WE CAN CONTINUE WITH THE

OUESTIONING.

I'M NOT SURE IF YOU'RE GOING TO NEED ALL
THE TIME BEFORE NOON FOR DIRECT EXAMINATION. I
GUESS WHAT I'M SUGGESTING IS: WE COULD USE THE TIME
IN COURT MORE EFFICIENTLY, HAVE HIM CHECK THAT OFF
OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: RIGHT.

THE COURT: HE'LL BE BACK.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: RIGHT.

SO DOES THE COURT WANT TO RECESS AT THIS TIME?

THE COURT: NO, NO, NO.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OH, I'LL HAVE HIM DO THIS OVER THE LUNCH HOUR.

THE COURT: YES.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OKAY.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. NOW, YOU MENTIONED, MR. STEVENS, THAT YOU ARE THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THE FORD F-150; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. AND YOU WERE THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THAT
  VEHICLE THROUGH THE ENTIRE TIME FROM -- THAT
  ERIC ANDERSON WAS LIVING WITH YOU?
  - A. YES.



	1	O. AND YOU WERE NOT THE ONLY PERSON WHO DROVE
	7	THE VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
	3	A. NO.
	4	MR. MCALLISTER: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT
	5	AS TO LEADING.
	6	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
	7	MS. VANDENBOSCH: I'M SORRY, WHAT WAS THE
	8	OBJECTION?
	9	THE COURT: LEADING.
1	LO	BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:
:	11	Q. WHO ELSE DROVE THE VEHICLE?
-	12	A. ERIC ANDERSON AND MARCEL NICHOLS, ANOTHER
:	13	FRIEND OF MINE.
-	14	Q. HOW OFTEN DID ERIC ANDERSON DRIVE THE FORD
<- 1	L 5	F-150?
:	16	A. ACTUALLY, QUITE A BIT, ONCE HE DIDN'T HAVE
-	17	HIS TRUCK. THE BRONCO WASN'T NECESSARILY A TRUCK.
-	18	AND MY TOOLS BEING IN IT, HE USED MY TOOLS QUITE A
:	L9	BIT, ALSO.
	20	Q. WAS IT UNUSUAL FOR ERIC ANDERSON TO KEEP
	21	YOUR BRONCO OVERNIGHT?
	22	THE COURT: KEEP THE BRONCO OVERNIGHT OR THE
	23	MS. VANDENBOSCH: I'M SORRY. THANK YOU.
	24	BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:
	25	Q. WAS IT UNUSUAL FOR ERIC ANDERSON TO KEEP
	6	YOUR FORD F-150 OVERNIGHT?
	27	A. NO, IT'S NOT UNUSUAL.
2	8	Q. DID YOU KEEP PERSONAL ITEMS IN BOTH THE

/				
4	7	6	ጸ	
ν	•	~	•	

FORD	BRONCO	AND	THE	F-1503

- YES. Α.
- Q. NOW, MR. STEVENS, YOU'VE INDICATED THAT YOU WORK -- AT SOME POINT, YOU WORKED FOR A COMPANY CALLED DIVISION 10?
  - A. YES.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

- Q. WHO WAS YOUR EMPLOYER WHEN YOU WORKED FOR DIVISION 10?
  - JEFF AND JEANNE.
  - WHEN YOU SAY "JEFF"? Q.
  - JEFF GARDNER AND JEANNE LUKE.
  - WHEN DID YOU START WORKING FOR DIVISION 10?
- A. IT WAS A FEW MONTHS BEFORE THE PAROLE SEARCH WAS HELD AT THE CONDO.
- Q. AND YOU'VE IDENTIFIED THAT AS AROUND APRIL 24TH OF 2003?
  - A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. WHAT KIND OF WORK WERE YOU DOING FOR DIVISION 10?
- A. INSTALLATION OF BATHROOM ACCESSORIES, BATHROOM STALLS, THAT TYPE OF THING.
- Q. THAT WAS A DIFFERENT TYPE OF WORK THAN YOU HAD BEEN DOING PREVIOUSLY FOR DE LA ROSA AND BO MEL; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. THAT'S CORRECT. I MET JEFF AT ONE OF THE CONSTRUCTION COMPANIES, D.L.R., AND WHEN HE WENT OUT DOING THIS, THEN, KIND OF AS A FAVOR, I WENT WITH HIM TO KIND OF GET THINGS STARTED.

1	
1	
2	Al
3	
3 4	
5	DI
6	
7	
8	
8 9	
10	WC
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	AR
16	TH
17	
18	NE
18 19	ME
20	
21	US
. 22	
23 24 25 26	
25	
26	CO

28

- Q. DID YOU EVER WORK FOR DIVISION 10 IN APRIL OF 2003?
  - A. YES.
- Q. DID YOU EVER WORK WITH ERIC ANDERSON AT DIVISION 10?
  - A. YES, ONE TIME.
  - O. ONE TIME?
  - A. YES.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE DATE WAS THAT YOU WORKED WITH ERIC ANDERSON AT DIVISION 10?
  - A. YES, I DO.
  - Q. WHAT DATE WAS THAT?
  - A. THE 15TH OF APRIL.
- Q. AND DO YOU RECALL HOW IT WAS THAT YOU MADE ARRANGEMENTS WITH ERIC ANDERSON TO WORK WITH YOU ON THE 15TH?
- A. I REMEMBER HE WAS USING MY TRUCK, SO I NEEDED TO GET MY TRUCK BACK OR HAVE HIM WORK WITH MF.
- Q. WHAT DAY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THAT HE WAS USING YOUR TRUCK?
  - A. THAT WOULD BE THE DAY BEFORE.
  - Q. SO APRIL 14TH?
  - A. YES, MA'AM.
- Q. SO WHAT EXACTLY DID YOU DO TO TRY AND CONTACT ERIC ANDERSON?
  - A. I BELIEVE IT WAS BY PHONE.
  - Q. NOW, LET ME ASK YOU THIS, MR. STEVENS: DID

Sunde

YOU AND ERIC ANDERSON SHARE A PHONE PLAN?

- A. YES, WE DID.
- Q. AND WAS IT ONE OF THESE PHONE PLANS WHERE THE PARTIES CAN TALK TO ONE ANOTHER FREE OF CHARGE?
- A. YES. THAT WAS THE INTENT, BEING ON THE SAME PHONE PLAN.
- Q. WAS IT UNUSUAL FOR YOU TO TALK TO MR. ANDERSON USING THE CELL PHONE?
- A. NO, NOT UNUSUAL AT ALL. AS A MATTER OF FACT, SOMETIMES HE WOULD CALL ME FROM HIS ROOM TO MINE.
- MS. VANDENBOSCH: I'M GOING TO TRY AND FIND A PHOTOBOARD. THIS MAY HAVE BEEN THE ONE THAT MR. MCALLISTER WAS LOOKING FOR EARLIER. IT'S RIGHT HERE.
- BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

10

111

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

23

25

26

24

- Q. THIS IS PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 55. BEFORE I SHOW YOU THE EXHIBIT, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU, AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOUR PHONE NUMBER WAS BACK IN APRIL OF 2003?
- A. NOT REALLY. 200 WAS THE FIRST THREE NUMBERS.
- Q. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 55, WHICH IS AN EXHIBIT DATED "APRIL 14TH, 2003, ERIC ANDERSON'S CELL PHONE," AND IT HAS A CERTAIN CELL PHONE NUMBER. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THAT EXHIBIT AND SEE IF YOU RECOGNIZE ANY NUMBERS ON THE EXHIBIT.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. MCALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I AM NOT SURE IF IT HAS OR NOT. I'M GOING TO OVERRULE THE OBJECTION AND YOU CAN GIVE THE ANSWER.

THE WITNESS: ERIC ANDERSON.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. WHERE WAS THE FORD BRONCO, IF YOU KNOW?
- A. IT WAS IN THE CARPORT AT THE CONDO.
- Q. NOW, MR. STEVENS, I DO WANT TO GO TO THAT PACKET OF PAPERS YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU. AND IT'S THE PACKET THAT HAS BEEN MARKED DEFENSE EXHIBIT "C" -- EXCUSE ME, "Z". AND DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY OVER THE LUNCH HOUR TO GO THROUGH THAT DOCUMENT, PAGE BY PAGE?
  - A. YES, I DID.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

221

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. OKAY. AND DID YOU IN ANY WAY IDENTIFY PAPERWORK THAT BELONGED TO YOU?
- A. YES, I -- I INITIALED THE THINGS WITH "JS" AT THE BOTTOM.
- Q. AND I'M NOTING AT LEAST ON THE FIRST PAGE
  OF THAT EXHIBIT -- OR I SHOULD SAY THE SECOND PAGE
  THERE IS A "JS" IN GREEN PEN. IS THAT HOW YOU
  IDENTIFIED ALL THE DOCUMENTS THAT BELONGED TO YOU?
  - A. YES, IT IS.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, WERE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS IN THAT PARTICULAR PACKET THAT WERE DATED AFTER APRIL 14<sup>TM</sup> OF 2003?

- A. I DIDN'T CHECK THE DATES ON THEM.
- Q. OKAY. REFERRING YOU SPECIFICALLY -- I
  APOLOGIZE. DO YOU KNOW WHERE THE DIVISION 10
  PAPERWORK IS? WHY DON'T YOU LOOK --

THE COURT: HOLD ON. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO AVOID THE PRIVATE CONVERSATION BECAUSE KATIE HAS TO TAKE EVERYTHING DOWN.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: OKAY.

I APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR. I WAS HOPING TO HAVE THIS IDENTIFIED PRIOR. OKAY.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

Q. OKAY. REFERRING YOU SPECIFICALLY TO A
DOCUMENT THAT IS ENTITLED -- IT HAS THE NAME POTTER
ROEMER, R-O-E-M-E-R, AND IT INDICATES A WORK ORDER.
AND IT HAS A SIGNATURE AT THE BOTTOM AND YOU'VE
MARKED THAT WITH THE GREEN INITIALS "JS."

COULD YOU TELL US WHAT THE DATE ON THAT PARTICULAR PAPERWORK IS.

- A. YES. THAT WOULD BE 4-22-03.
- Q. AND DO YOU REMEMBER RECEIVING THIS PARTICULAR PAPERWORK?
  - A. YES, I DO.

MR. MCALLISTER: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT AS TO RELEVANCE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: POTTER ROEMER IS ONE OF THE
DISTRIBUTORS FOR THE STUFF THAT WE WOULD INSTALL.
BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

14

16

21

A. YES, IT IS.

	ŀ
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Q. OKAY. YOU NEED TO SPEAK UP.	
A. OKAY. POTTER ROEMER IS ONE OF THE	
DISTRIBUTORS FOR SOME OF THE STUFF THAT WE	
INSTALLED.	
Q. OKAY. AND WHEN YOU SAY "WE INSTALLED," WHO	
DO YOU MEAN?	
A. WELL, DIVISION 10.	
Q. OKAY. AND THEN I'M GOING TO REFER YOU TO A	
PAGE RIGHT AFTER THAT THAT HAS THE HEADING "MESA	
FASTENER, INC.," AND IT HAS A IT SAYS, "PICK	
TICKET." AND IT ALSO HAS A "JS" IN GREEN ON THAT	
PAGE.	
DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?	
A. YES. THAT'S THE FASTENERS THAT WE USE AND	
I WENT AND PICKED THOSE UP. AND SO THEY NOT ONLY	
THE COURT REPORTER: I'M SORRY, "THEY NOT ONLY	
THEIR"	
THE WITNESS: THE PAPERWORK AND THE FASTENER	
WERE TOGETHER.	
BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:	
Q. NOW, THERE APPEARS TO BE A SIGNATURE DOWN	
AT THE BOTTOM AS RECEIVED BY?	
A. YES. EVERYTHING I PICKED UP, I SIGNED THE	
PAPERWORK FOR.	
Q. IS THAT YOUR SIGNATURE ON THE BOTTOM OF	
THAT PAGE?	

FOR THE RECORD, THAT IS DISCOVERY PAGE

NO.	006851

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

LIKEWISE, ON PAGE 006850 THERE IS A SIGNATURE. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT SIGNATURE?

- A. YES. THAT'S MINE.
- Q. OKAY. AND THAT WAS FOR THE ONE DATED 4-22-03?
  - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. NOW, WHAT ABOUT THE ONE WE JUST TALKED ABOUT, THE MESA FASTENER WITH YOUR SIGNATURE DOWN AT THE BOTTOM? IS THERE A DATE ASSOCIATED WITH THAT PAPERWORK?
  - A. YEAH. THAT'S 4-21-03.
- Q. OKAY. THANK YOU. NOW, MR. STEVENS, I -- I HAD STARTED TO ASK YOU EARLIER ABOUT A DRIVER'S LICENSE. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
  - A. YES, I DO.
- Q. I HAD ASKED YOU WHETHER YOU STILL HAD CERTAIN ITEMS OF MR. ANDERSON IN YOUR -- IN YOUR POSSESSION?
  - A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- O. OKAY. I'M SHOWING YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED DEFENSE EXHIBIT "DD," AND I'M GOING TO ASK YOU WHETHER YOU RECOGNIZE THAT.
- 24 (EXH. DFT'S DD WAS IDENTIFIED.)

THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. HOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE IT?
- A. I RECOGNIZE IT BECAUSE IT'S ERIC'S DRIVER'S

Lower State

13

14

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

× 28

DIDN'T USE THE PHONE FOR ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE INTERNET.

- Q. AND AFTER -- AFTER TRAVIS NORTHCUTT MOVED OUT, DID -- WERE THERE ANY MORE LONG DISTANCE CALLS ON THE PHONE BILLS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
  - A. NO, THERE WASN'T.
- Q. OKAY. AND DO YOU RECALL -- WHEN YOU SAID MR. NORTHCUTT HAD FAMILY UP NORTH AND USED TO CALL UP NORTH, DO YOU RECALL WHICH -- WHICH AREA OF CALIFORNIA WE'RE TALKING ABOUT?
  - A. I BELIEVE IT WAS SACRAMENTO. I'M NOT SURE.
- Q. OKAY. THANK YOU. NOW, MR. STEVENS, WERE YOU PRESENT AT THE HOME -- AT THE CONDO ON ROBINSON WHEN A PAROLE SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED?
  - A. YES, I WAS.
- Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHAT TIME OF DAY THAT PAROLE SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED?
- A. WELL, I -- AROUND 6:15, 6:30 IS WHEN I NOTICED IT, YES.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}.$  OKAY. WAS IT 6:15, 6:30 IN THE MORNING OR IN THE EVENING?
  - A. IN THE MORNING, YES.
  - Q. IN THE MORNING?
  - A. YES.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}_*$  . WAS ERIC ANDERSON HOME WHEN THAT PAROLE SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED?
  - A. NO, HE WAS NOT.
  - Q. WHOSE VEHICLE DID HE HAVE?

Α.	HE	HAD	MY	TRUCK.

- Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAD ERIC ANDERSON BEEN HOME THE PREVIOUS EVENING?
  - A. YES, HE HAD.
- Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HE ACTUALLY SLEPT AT THE APARTMENT THAT NIGHT?
- A. I'M ASSUMING, BECAUSE HE WASN'T THERE AT THAT TIME OF THE MORNING, THAT HE HADN'T.
- Q. OKAY. NOW, FINALLY, MR. STEVENS, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU, YOU HAVE PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. YES, I DO.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

- Q. OKAY. IN FACT, YOU HAVE A COUPLE OF WHAT WE CALL AUTO THEFTS, VEHICLE CODE SECTION 10851'S BACK IN 1986; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
  - Q. AND THEN YOU HAVE AN AUTO THEFT IN 1987?
  - A. YES.
  - Q. AND YOU HAVE ANOTHER AUTO THEFT IN 1992?
  - A. YES.
  - Q. AND 1993?
  - A. YES.
- Q. AND YOU ALSO HAD WHAT THEY -- WHAT THEY LABELED AN ESCAPE CONVICTION IN 1986; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. AND YOUR LAST FELONY CONVICTION WAS IN 1996; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES, IT WAS.

MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT THE BILLING PERIOD GOES THROUGH A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME, BUT THE CALL DETAIL DOES NOT. IN OTHER WORDS, THE BILLING, I BELIEVE. WENT THROUGH MAY.

IS THAT YOUR RECOLLECTION, MR. BAKER? MR. BAKER: YES.

MR. MCALLISTER: BUT THERE IS NO CALL FOR BILLING PAST FEBRUARY.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: AND THAT'S PRECISELY BECAUSE THERE WERE NO LONG-DISTANCE CALLS MADE USING THE HOME PHONE AFTER FEBRUARY 28TH OF 2003.

THE COURT: AS TO THE DRIVER'S LICENSE, IT LOOKS LIKE THERE'S SOME PROBATIVE VALUE. DO YOU WANT TO BE HEARD REGARDING THAT?

MR. MCALLISTER: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AS TO THE PHONE CALLS, ASSUMING THERE IS FOUNDATION AND YOU'RE SAYING THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT, IT APPEARS THAT THERE IS A DISPUTE ABOUT WHETHER MR. NORTHCUTT WOULD HAVE BEEN AT THE HOME AT A TIME WHERE THESE COMMENTS COULD HAVE BEEN MADE ABOUT THE MURDER.

AND I DO BELIEVE MR. NORTHCUTT ESTIMATED THAT HE LEFT SOMETIME IN MARCH, BUT HE RETURNED. I THINK THIS IS RELEVANT. IT HAS PROBATIVE VALUE AS TO WHEN HE ACTUALLY DEPARTED. SO OVER THE OBJECTION OF THE PEOPLE, IT WILL BE ALLOWED.

IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE WE WERE GOING TO COVER DURING THE LUNCH BREAK?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: I DON'T THINK SO.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: WILL THE COURT BE OPEN AT 1:15? I DON'T WANT TO TAKE THE EXHIBIT OUT WITH ME. OBVIOUSLY.

THE CLERK: YES. IF THE BAILIFFS ARE HERE.

MR. MCALLISTER: YOUR HONOR, WE DON'T HAVE TO

11 RETURN UNTIL 1:30, CORRECT?

10

12

13

14

16

17

THE COURT: NO. 1:30.

THANK YOU, COUNSEL.

(LUNCHEON RECESS.)

11 12

> 14 15 16

13

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26 27

28

O. AND IT WAS JUST THAT MORNING ON MAY 15TH PRIOR TO SPEAKING WITH THE DETECTIVES THAT YOU HAD READ IN THE NEWSPAPER THAT ERIC ANDERSON WAS A SUSPECT IN THIS CASE?

MR. MCALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, COUNSEL IS LEADING.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

O. HOW DID YOU LEARN THAT ERIC ANDERSON WAS A SUSPECT?

MR. MCALLISTER: OBJECTION, EXCEEDS THE SCOPE.

THE COURT: I'M ASSUMING THIS IS TO GO BACK IN TERMS OF THE POSSIBLE STATEMENTS, CONSISTENT OR INCONSISTENT?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: IT WAS THAT SAME CORRECTIONAL OFFICER THAT HAD COME UP WITH THE STRESSED ERIC THING. HE TOLD ME THAT HE HAD SEEN IT IN THE NEWSPAPER.

MR. MCALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, THE WITNESS IS OFFERING HEARSAY, NONRESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED. THE QUESTION IS HOW HE FIRST BECAME AWARE OF IT. THE NEWSPAPER.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- O. HOW DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE?
- SOMEONE TOLD ME ABOUT THE ARTICLE. I

1	IDN'T	SEE	ΙT	FOR	MYSELF.
2	Q.	AE	BOUT	THE	ARTICLE?

- YES, SIR -- YES, MA'AM.
- AND ARE YOU REFERRING TO AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER?
  - A. YES, MA'AM.
- Q. AND IN THAT STATEMENT TO DETECTIVE SERRITELLA AND HIS COLLEAGUE, YOU TOLD THEM -- THEY WERE SPECIFICALLY ASKING YOU ABOUT THE FORD BRONCO; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. WELL --
- Q. OR ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THEY ASKED YOU ABOUT WAS A FORD BRONCO?
  - A. YES, MA'AM.
- Q. AND YOU TOLD THEM EVEN AT THAT TIME THAT ERIC HAS A FORD BRONCO AND THAT HE WAS USING YOUR TRUCK QUITE A BIT; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES, MA'AM. YES, MA'AM.

MR. MCALLISTER: YOUR HONOR, IT EXCEEDS THE SCOPE, BUT IT IS ALSO LEADING.

THE COURT: WELL, IT IS --- IS THAT YOUR LAST QUESTION ON THAT SUBJECT?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: ON THAT SUBJECT, YES.

THE COURT: I'M GOING TO ALLOW IT TO STAND.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

Q. NOW, YOU WERE ASKED SPECIFICALLY ABOUT AN INTERVIEW THAT YOU HAD WITH DETECTIVE GOLDBERG AND DETECTIVE FISKE. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

10

11

12

18

20 21

22 23 24

25

		11
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	4	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	and the last of th
2	5	
2	d	

MR.	MCALL	TSTER .	THANK	YOU.

I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE?

MR. ROAKE: NO.

THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH, ANY REDIRECT?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES. THANK YOU.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. MR. STEVENS, A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. YOU SPOKE WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS CONCERNING YOUR -- YOUR CONNECTION WITH MR. ANDERSON; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES.
- Q. IN FACT, THE FIRST PERSON THAT YOU SPOKE TO ABOUT THIS CASE WAS SOMEBODY FROM THE SAN DIEGO SHERIFF'S OFFICE; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
  - Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHAT DATE THAT WAS?
  - A. NO, I DON'T.
- Q. DO YOU RECALL TALKING WITH A DETECTIVE SERRITELLA ON MAY 15<sup>TH</sup>?
  - A. YES. I REMEMBER THAT VERY WELL.
- Q. WAS THAT IN FACT THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU HEARD ABOUT ERIC ANDERSON?
  - A. YES. IT WAS.

	Q.	AND	нім	BEING	5 A	SUS	PECT	IN	THIS	PARTIC	ULA
HOMICIDE?											
	Α.	THAT'S CORRECT.									
	Q.	AND	WHEN	WAS	ΙT	IN	RELAT	rion	ТО	SPEAKIN	G T

Q. AND WHEN WAS IT IN RELATION TO SPEAKING TO LAW ENFORCEMENT FOR THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU LEARNED ABOUT ERIC ANDERSON BEING A SUSPECT IN THIS CASE?

A. DURING THAT INTERVIEW.

10

11

15

19

20

21

22

MR. MCALLISTER: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR, I -- I THINK THAT'S VAGUE.

THE COURT: THE FIRST TIME YOU LEARNED ABOUT

ERIC ANDERSON BEING A SUSPECT; IS THAT THE QUESTION?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES.

THE WITNESS: YES. IT WAS THE FIRST TIME.

THE COURT: MAYBE I'VE CONFUSED MYSELF NOW.

YOU'RE STILL REFERRING TO THE 15<sup>TH</sup> WITH SERGEANT
SERRITELLA, MS. VANDENBOSCH?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES.

THE COURT: IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO ALSO?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: THAT CONTACT WITH SERGEANT SERRITELLA?

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

Q. AND THAT WAS WHEN YOU WERE INTERVIEWED AT

DONOVAN STATE PRISON WITH -- BY DETECTIVE SERRITELLA

AND ANOTHER FEMALE INVESTIGATOR; IS THAT RIGHT?

RIOS CANYON AREA, IS A FORD BRONCO AN UNUSUAL TYPE OF VEHICLE TO SEE IN THAT AREA?

MR. MCALLISTER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, IT'S SPECULATIVE AND LEADING AS TO "UNUSUAL." AND CALLS FOR IMPROPER OPINION.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

#### BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

Q. IS A FORD BRONCO A COMMON VEHICLE TO SEE IN THAT AREA?

MR. MCALLISTER: SAME OBJECTION.

THE COURT: THAT WILL FINISH THE QUESTIONS REGARDING FORD BRONCOS?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES.

THE WITNESS: YES, I BELIEVE IT IS A COMMON VEHICLE IN THE AREA.

MS. VANDENBOSCH: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: MR. MCALLISTER, ANY QUESTIONS OF MS. FINCH?

MR. MCALLISTER: NO, THANK YOU, MA'AM.

THE COURT: MR. ROAKE?

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. ROAKE:

O. WHEN YOU SAY "RIOS CANYON AREA." YOU DIDN'T MEAN THE RIOS CANYON MOBILE HOME PARK, DID YOU?

A. NO.

MR. ROAKE: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER.

28

THE COURT: MS. VANDENBOSCH, ANYTHING FURTHER? MS. VANDENBOSCH: NOTHING FURTHER. THE COURT: MAY SHE BE EXCUSED? MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES. THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. YOU'RE FREE TO GO. MS. VANDENBOSCH: YOUR HONOR. THE ONLY THING I WOULD DO AT THIS TIME IS CALL DETECTIVE GOLDBERG BACK AND FINISH UP WITH DETECTIVE GOLDBERG. THE COURT: DETECTIVE, YOU'RE BACK ON. YOU REMAIN UNDER OATH. THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR. CURT GOLDBERG, VOLUME 26, RECALLED BY DEFENDANT ANDERSON, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. VANDENBOSCH: Q. DETECTIVE GOLDBERG. 22 A. GOOD AFTERNOON. Q. I WANT TO START WITH SOMETHING THAT I ASKED YOU ABOUT THIS MORNING BUT THEN DIDN'T HAVE THE 25 APPROPRIATE PAPERWORK WITH ME. 26 YOU RECALL THAT I ASKED YOU WHETHER EARLIER

THIS YEAR, AT MY REQUEST, YOU HAD PHOTOCOPIED

PAPERWORK ITEMS THAT WERE FOUND IN MR. ANDERSON'S

Grance Janker Valent Lines

- A. YES, MA'AM.
- O. AND YOU INDICATED THAT YOU HAD HAD A CHANCE TO DO THAT?

place prof limbo or in my home comain.

- A. I DID.
- O. AND THEN YOU GAVE THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PAPERWORK TO MR. MCALLISTER?
  - A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- O. DID YOU, PRIOR TO GIVING A COPY OF THE PAPERWORK TO MR. MCALLISTER, LABEL WHERE THE PAPERWORK WAS FOUND?
  - A. YES, I DID.

(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT Z, VOL. 26, PAPERS FROM CENTER CONSOLE, FORD BRONCO, MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

O. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED DEFENSE EXHIBIT Z.) IT'S A PACKET OF PAPERS ENTITLED "PAPERS FROM CENTER CONSOLE, FORD BRONCO, CP" --APPEARS TO BE A LICENSE PLATE NUMBER.

I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THAT AND LET ME KNOW IF YOU RECOGNIZE IT. IN FACT, IT'S LABELED IN TWO WAYS: IS THAT RIGHT?

- A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT, BUT I DO RECOGNIZE IT.
- Q. OKAY. IN FACT, THE PACKET YOU'VE JUST REMOVED IS A DIFFERENT PACKET, WHICH INDICATES "PAPERS FROM GLOVER" ~- WHICH I'M ASSUMING IS GLOVE COMPARTMENT -- "OF FORD BRONCO"; IS THAT RIGHT?

A. THAT WOULD BE CORRECT.

Q. COULD YOU REVIEW THOSE AS WELL, AND --SINCE THEY'RE PART OF THE EXHIBIT. AND LET US KNOW IF THAT'S THE PAPERWORK YOU REMEMBER FINDING IN THE GLOVE COMPARTMENT OF THE VEHICLE.

THE COURT: THAT'S GOING TO REMAIN PART OF Z? MS. VANDENBOSCH: I GUESS, YES.

THE COURT: OKAY.

THE WITNESS: I RECALL IT.

BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. SO HAVING LOOKED AT ALL OF THOSE ITEMS, DO THOSE APPEAR TO BE THE ONES THAT YOU FOUND IN THE FORD BRONCO AROUND FEBRUARY 8TH OF THIS YEAR AND PHOTOCOPIED AND GAVE A COPY TO MR. MCALLISTER?
- A. YEAH, THOSE ARE THE PAPERS. I DON'T RECALL THE DATE, BUT, YEAH.
  - Q. THANK YOU.

DETECTIVE GOLDBERG, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU WERE INTERESTED -- YOU WERE PRESENT AT BRANDON HANDSHOE'S FREE TALK ON APRIL 11TH OF 2005: IS THAT RIGHT?

- A. YES, MA'AM.
- Q. AND I ASKED YOU EARLIER THIS MORNING CONCERNING SOMETHING THAT HE TOLD YOU, ABOUT A SUPPOSED ATTEMPTED BURGLARY IN THE AREA OF THE BRUCKER RESIDENCE.

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

YES, MA'AM.

V RITHE

The second of set a second

15

16 17

19

11

12

20 21 22

27

28

25 26

The way of the way of the same of the same

- O. AND WHAT TYPES OF VEHICLES WERE THOSE?
- A. HIS CUSTOMARY VEHICLE WAS A WHITE FORD 150 PICKUP TRUCK.
- Q. WERE THERE OTHER VEHICLES THAT YOU OBSERVED MR. STEVENS DRIVING DURING THAT PERIOD?
  - A. YES, ON OCCASION, HE DROVE ANOTHER VEHICLE.
  - Q. AND WHAT WAS THAT VEHICLE?
- A. I DON'T KNOW THE YEAR, BUT IT WAS A FULL-SIZE FORD BRONCO.
- Q. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED PEOPLE'S EXHIBIT 20. YOU'D THINK I WOULD HAVE IT MEMORIZED AT THIS POINT.

THIS APPEARS TO BE A PHOTOBOARD WITH FOUR PHOTOGRAPHS ON IT. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE VEHICLE THAT'S IN THESE PICTURES?

A. IT'S THE VEHICLE THAT JIM STEVENS WOULD SOMETIMES DRIVE.

THE COURT: IS THAT 20?

MS. VANDENBOSCH: YES.

#### BY MS. VANDENBOSCH:

- Q. WHEN YOU SAY "HE WOULD SOMETIMES DRIVE," IF YOU HAD TO ESTIMATE BETWEEN THAT PERIOD OF FEBRUARY OF 2003 AND THE END OF APRIL 2003, HOW MANY TIMES WOULD YOU SAY DURING THAT PERIOD YOU ACTUALLY OBSERVED MR. STEVENS DRIVING THIS PARTICULAR VEHICLE?
- A. I CAN'T RECALL AN EXACT NUMBER, BUT I WOULD SAY THREE, MAYBE FIVE TIMES.

- Q. IN FACT, YOU SPOKE TO STEVE BAKER FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ON A COUPLE OF OCCASIONS IN THIS CASE; IS THAT RIGHT?
  - A. YES.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. AND HE ASKED YOU THAT VERY SAME QUESTION. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
- A. I REMEMBER HIM ASKING ME ABOUT THE BRONCO, YES.
- Q. AND YOU INDICATED THAT YOU REMEMBERED HIM DRIVING IT MAYBE FIVE TO TEN TIMES -- MR. STEVENS DRIVING THE VEHICLE MAYBE FIVE TO TEN TIMES DURING THAT PERIOD?
- A. THAT MAY HAVE BEEN ACCURATE. A PROJECT

  CAN -- A SINGLE PROJECT CAN LAST A NUMBER OF DAYS.

  I'M JUST TRYING TO REMEMBER THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS

  THAT THEY WORKED ON.
- Q. OKAY. BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN AT LEAST FIVE
  TIMES DURING THE PERIOD THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT?
- 19 A. YES, ON MORE THAN TWO OCCASIONS. PROBABLY
  20 LESS THAN TEN, THOUGH.
  - Q. OKAY. YOU MENTIONED THAT JAMES STEVENS
    WORKED FOR YOU AT DIVISION 10. DID ERIC ANDERSON
    EVER WORK FOR YOU AT DIVISION 10?
    - A. YES, ONE TIME.
    - O. AND JUST THE ONE TIME?
    - A. YES.
    - Q. DO YOU RECALL EXACTLY WHEN THAT WAS?
    - A. YES, I DO.