

SUMMARY OUTLINE OF ERIC ANDERSON'S CASE

On April 14, 2003 Stephen Brucker was shot and killed at his home. The Defendant Eric Anderson is accused of trying to rob Mr. Brucker and being the shooter, along with two others who were found guilty of being involved. One is named Apollo Huhn and the other Brandon Handshoe. Before the victim died he gave a description of the shooter. Black and white cap, salt and pepper hair, with a full beard, between the ages of 35-45 years old, no mention of glasses. This description does not fit Mr. Anderson, who was only 29 years old at the time. Also please see photo on the front page of the website, that was taken three days before he was arrested.

Anderson was arrested for this crime after Valerie Peretti contacted Crimestoppers to try and collect the reward. Valerie Peretti was at the time, the girlfriend of Apollo Huhn, and the two of them discussed what to tell the police, and try to get the reward money. In Valerie Peretti's first couple of stories she left out her boyfriend's involvement. When she had went for a polygraph examination she had changed her story in the middle of it and still failed the polygraph. In total she changed her story 7 times before trial, yet none of her stories held up to all the knowable facts in this case. Yet, Valerie Peretti was paid \$10,000.00 by the Prosecutor, through Crimestoppers, even before the Preliminary Hearings, or her statements were investigated to be factual.

The rush to judgement lead to the need for the Prosecutor to double down on her lies, after \$9,000.00 of the reward money came from the victim's family. He couldn't just say, "Opps...my mistake", "I gave \$9,000.00 of your money to a lair", and hampered any case going forward on the others.

At trial there were multiple theories of the case against Mr. Anderson, that was heard by the jury, due to the Judge refused to sever Codefendents, and Anderson's Codefendent's lawyers acted as second and third Prosecutors against Anderson.

One in particular is the Prosecution's theory was that Anderson was the ringleader, while Huhn's lawyer, with the help of another version of Valerie Perretti's story was that Anderson more or less forced them to go along with him, to rob Mr. Brucker, and they did so because they were scared of Anderson. However neither of these theories are valid. Key reasons being, that according to Perretti, Handshoe had a gun as well, and as far as Anderson being a ringleader, Anderson's cell phone records show the first time he had called Handshoe was April 6, only 9 days before the murder. Beyond that, records and testimony show there was a group of people planning to rob Mr. Brucker as early as the Summer of 2002, which Perretti testified at trial too. Those people mentioned in these numerous meetings were, Randy Lee, Zach Paulson, Brandon Handshoe and Apollo Huhn.

Randy Lee knew about a safe at the Brucker house, because he had gone to school with the victim's son Eric Brucker. Randy Lee was the only one who factually knew where the Bruckers lived. Meanwhile, there is no evidence or even statements of Anderson and Lee meeting and discussing anything about the Bruckers. What there was testimony about is that Randy Lee had enticed and had multiple meetings to discuss robbing Mr. Brucker's safe from as early on as the Summer of 2002, to only a short time before the murder happened the people he met with were, Zach Paulson, Brandon Handshoe, Apollo Huhn. That Lee was willing to show them where the safe was, and help plan, but didn't want to go in person, for this he wanted 15% of the money according to court testimony.

Zach Paulson was more than just a friend of Huhn and Handshoe. He had stated in police records

(2)

that he and his friends were all part of a gang called “The Peckerwoods”. However this gang evidence was not allowed at trial, which would have undermined the Prosecution's theory of the case, that Anderson was the ringleader, when facts show Anderson was the only outsider in this case and that the codefendants, and the majority of the Prosecution's witnesses at trial were either a member in this gang, or associated with them as friends and grew up with.

Another key person that comes up in this case is Erik Swanson, a third Eric. He is referred to as Erik the drug dealer, from testimony by both Perretti and Handshoe. In fact, this Erik fits the description much closer of the shooter that Mr. Brucker gave before he died, due to testimony about his age, graying hair, and being “unshaven”. This Erik was far better known to Handshoe, and his friends, came over to Handshoe's place almost daily, and knew him for much longer than Anderson...Yet detectives in this case intentionally changed witness reports, and mislead other witness's statements about there even being a second Eric, and Detectives purposely never had shown anyone they interviewed a photo of Anderson and Erik Swanson to clearly determine which Eric they could be referring too. A prime example of this fact as seen in Patricia Colgan's testimony, that the first time she had seen Eric Anderson was in court. She didn't know there was two Erics, and she was talking about “the other Erik”.

Karen Barns was the neighbor of Handshoe, and had learned of Anderson from the media, and had made statements against Anderson. But at trial she also admitted the person she was referring to was 6 feet tall, and had collar length hair, and came over often. These facts are proven and seen on the transcripts regarding Prosecutor and Detectives.

The Prosecution's case was weak. There was no physical evidence such as; DNA, fingerprints, fibers etc... that links Anderson to the murder case, nor is there any corroborating evidence. However, there is evidence (Anderson's cell phone records), that Valerie Peretti had lied about meeting Anderson over at Handshoe's place, the day before the murder. (Phone records show Anderson was never near Handshoe's place on April 13, and was in a different city in fact).

Yet, even knowing evidence in the Prosecution's possession that his key witness was lying, the Prosecutor doubled down on her lies, by giving Handshoe a Plea Deal in the middle of Voir Dire (Jury Selection). Not only did this violate the 30 day notice rule, but more importantly the prosecutor had months before held a “Free Talk” with Handshoe and his lawyer. (Free Talk-is when someone like Handshoe is free to tell the Prosecutor what he knows and is willing to testify too, for a Plea Deal, without the Prosecutor being able to use his statement against him, if there isn't a plea deal). From this free talk statement, again the Prosecutor knew and evidence beforehand that Handshoe was also lying. In Handshoe's Free Talk statement, Handshoe accuses Anderson of 2 other crimes, besides the murder. One was a burglary where he claimed the murder weapon was stolen a short time before the murder. The second one was were he accuses Anderson of ramming the door, and setting off the alarm to a house next to where the Bruckers lived. Handshoe had also claimed this happened on April 13, and that he was also at his place. But, Anderson's cell phone records proves that both Peretti and Handshoe committed perjury, since cell phone site location shows he was never in the area for the whole day of April 13, and in fact in a different city. Moreover, the Alarm Company for the house next to Bruckers home, came into court and testified that the alarm was never set off on April 13, or anytime that month, as Handshoe testified too. Furthermore regarding the first accused burglary, police records showed there was no evidence of this, and there was not a similar burglary reported, where a .45 handgun was

stolen. What is even worse than a witness lying, is when the Prosecutor had this evidence and knew both his witnesses were lying, and he gave Handshoe a Plea Deal even knowing his testimony would be perjurious ahead of time!

Then to create confusion about if Handshoe lied or not, when the Detective got on the stand the Detective lied about evidence that doesn't exist, to bolster and support Handshoe's story regarding the first accused burglary, by testifying there was a similar burglary reported, to what Handshoe claimed. However, later on at the end of the trial, a Stipulation was read to correct the Detectives testimony, without flatly saying the Detectives lied. Moreover, to this day both police reports of when Handshoe was taken around to point out these places, are being withheld. (Brady Violation/Withholding Exculpatory Evidence).

Just before closing arguments, the Judge threw out the charge of conspiracy against Randy Lee, which was the only crime he could have been convicted of, and put the full onus on the Jury to find Anderson guilty of being the ringleader, since the Judge took away the option of the Jury to find Lee guilty.

Last of all, in closing arguments the Prosecutor lied to the Jury about Handshoe's Plea Deal, saying he was free to say, "The Martians came down and did it", he would still get his plea deal...Therefore he had no reason to lie. Yet, the facts are seen in transcripts and other documents provided that Handshoe's Plea Deal locks him into testifying to his perjurious statements made during Free Talks, and that if he altered or lied he could be charged with perjury and lose his deal.

Handshoe received 17 years through his Plea Deal. Randy Lee was set free, Apollo Huhn guilty, and Anderson found guilty, and sentenced to Death.

What you will read in the issues sections are the details, of the stuff in the summary, and more. The issues are divided into sections, based on individuals like Handshoe, and Peretti, in order to highlight their testimony, as being more than just not believable, but lay out their perjurious testimony. Likewise in the first issue section labeled Prosecutor and Detectives, I point out the corrupt acts they are responsible in doing.

As you will see, each time a fact is mentioned there is a page number that follows. Most are from transcripts that are labeled RT, then the page number where the fact or quote is found. This is so everything you read on the website is backed up with facts, and is irrefutable!

These documents are easily found on the website for you to see for yourself. The related documents follow each section. You don't need to read all the documents to understand the issues in this case...but are there, so you can see the proof of what is said.

Near the end you will find a section that is of Anderson trial lawyer's Closing Arguments in full. You may want to read this first, so you can see how she outlines the case, and it also shows that the trial

(4)

lawyer makes many of the same arguments about the case I do. Anderson's trial lawyer points out there is no physical evidence, nor any corroborating evidence, and that the State's Witnesses are liars. The only point she fails to do is to attack the Outrageous Gov. Conduct done by the State, in order to obtain a Wrongful Conviction, AKA a conviction by any means necessary.

Last of all, the website is long, because it needed to be thorough....Most of it however are documents that are downloaded onto the website, for the soul purpose to prove everything on the website is factually true, and leave no doubt with the evidence provided.

After you read the facts of Anderson's case for yourself, I ask you to join the petition to overturn this case at:

<https://www.change.org/justice4ericanderson>

***** THANK YOU *****